

Antibiotic resistance of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria isolated from tilapia farms with different water sources in Pampanga, Philippines

Dante M. Mendoza, Alvin T. Reyes

Central Luzon State University, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines. Corresponding author: A. T. Reyes, alvinreyes@clsu.edu.ph

Abstract. The study aimed to assess the antibiotic resistance of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria isolated from river-dependent and groundwater-dependent farms located in Pampanga, Philippines. Samples of water, sediments and fish were collected for the isolation of the target bacteria. Antibiotic susceptibility test was conducted using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. The result of the preliminary test indicated high rate of resistance among isolates from both farm types to ampicillin, rifampicin, vancomycin, linezolid, penicillin and erythromycin. Moreover, selected isolates from both farm types obtained a multi-antibiotic resistance index (MARI) of > 0.2, suggesting that these areas are at high risk of antibiotic contamination. Sequencing of selected multi-antibiotic resistant isolates revealed that *Klebsiella* spp. dominate in river-dependent farms while *Aeromonas* spp. are common in groundwater-dependent farms.

Key Words: groundwater-dependent, multi-antibiotic resistant, river-dependent, tilapia farms.

Introduction. Bacterial pathogens have long been studied as primary factor in the outbreaks of diseases in fish farming. Intensification of production may result to water quality degradation, which in turn favors bacterial proliferation and accumulation causing mortalities, threatening sustainable production (Haenen et al 2023). Without any intervention, these factors can promote bacterial infection, which could possibly become an important vector for environmental contamination and human foodborne illnesses (Thaotumpitak et al 2022). Among the major farmed fish commonly studied for bacterial infection is Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Although the fish demonstrated relative resistance to many bacterial attack, recent investigations showed the susceptibility of farmed species to various infectious diseases (Debnath et al 2023).

Traditionally, antimicrobial methods are implemented to control the occurrence and proliferation of pathogens in fish farms. The application of antibiotics is widely used to treat and control bacterial diseases; however, the amount of antibiotics used in aquaculture is difficult to quantify (Romero et al 2012). Of the 67 types of antibiotic compounds used by major aquaculture producers worldwide, oxytetracycline, sulphadiazine and florfenicol are commonly used (Lulijwa et al 2020). Antibiotic chemotherapy is usually made by incorporating the compounds to the diet of fish (Okocha et al 2018).

As mentioned, most of the antibiotics used in aquaculture are effective against Gram-negative bacteria (Bondad-Reantaso et al 2023). However, indiscriminate use exerts selective pressure driving antimicrobial resistance (Schar et al 2021). One of the most studied topics in the area of pathogenic bacteria is the concept of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). This natural phenomenon is considered a global health threat involving pathogenic bacteria and such risk related to the use of antimicrobial agents among food production sectors may include the spread of resistant pathogens and resistant genes via food chain, which can cause zoonotic diseases (Gazal et al 2020). Hence, this problem should not be overlooked and thorough investigation is indispensable (Kam et al 2022).

Based on the report of Roh et al (2016), the resistance of bacteria to various antibiotics increased significantly in fish farms. In theories, the emergence of resistant strains could eliminate susceptible bacteria from the heterogeneous populations, of which resistant ones considerably proliferate. It has been reported that 90% of bacteria from the aquatic environment showed resistance to at least one type of antibiotic and about 20% are multi-antibiotic resistant (Pepi & Focardi 2021). Several factors have been recognized to influence the acquisition of resistance (Watts et al 2017; Pepi & Focardi 2021; Yuan et al 2023). Prolonged exposure to various antibiotics aids the development of resistance in aquaculture systems. Moreover, climate change worsened and magnified the problem. Studies have linked the occurrence of antibiotic resistance in fish farming to the provision of artificial diets incorporated with antibiotics as well as direct application in pond water and inappropriate disposal of wastes from animal husbandry, domestic, hospital and industrial areas that may accumulate in water and sediment (Agoba et al 2011; Adinortey et al 2020; Yuan et al 2023).

Aquaculture has been implicated as major driver in broad dissemination of antibiotic resistance among pathogens affecting both humans and animals (Dewi et al 2022). Nowadays, aquaculture has been regarded as an important source, hotspot and reservoir (Wang et al 2021). High incidence of antibiotic resistant bacteria can be found in integrated and manure-fertilized ponds (Petersen et al 2002; Neela et al 2015; Fakorede et al 2020). Application of manures of animal origin, particularly those sources that received medications became source of further environmental diffusion of antibiotic resistance gene (Wamala et al 2018; Ferri et al 2022). Similarly, incidence rate is high in farms frequently administered with these compounds (Miranda et al 2018). However, resistant bacteria were also detected in fish farms with no history of antibiotic application, suggesting the possibility of cross-contamination from other systems (Hossain et al 2021). From this viewpoint, water can be considered as a primary medium of transmission.

The province of Pampanga is well-known for its huge contribution of farmproduced Nile tilapia in the Philippines. As mentioned, its farming system is heavily dependent on river tributaries and canals as primary sources of water; however, there are some farms that are dependents on deep-well or groundwater sources as these areas are elevated and relatively distant from the mighty Pampanga River that supplies the water requirement for agriculture and fisheries (Reyes 2018; Reyes & Reyes 2019). Considering the paucity of information regarding antibiotic resistance in tilapia aquaculture, this undertaking was done to investigate the response of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria from Nile tilapia farms with different water sources against a pool of antibiotics commonly used in human medicine and animal production.

Material and Method

Bacterial isolation. Samples of water, sediment and fish were collected in triplicates from pre-identified river-dependent and groundwater-dependent farms in Minalin and Magalang, Pampanga from October to November 2023. The samples were transported to the laboratory within 6 hours using Styrofoam box with ice. One (1) gram of water, sediment and homogenous tissues from fish (skin, muscle, gut, liver, and brain) was serially diluted ($10^{-1} - 10^{-6}$) in sterile physiological saline at 10% concentration. One hundred (100) µL aliquots from serially diluted samples were spread to bile-esculin agar (Himedia Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, Maharashtra, India) plates and incubated for 18-24 hours at 37°C (Adeniji et al 2021). Major colony showing dark coloration emerged in each agar plate was selected and subjected to series of purification. The purified isolate was used for antibiotic susceptibility test.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing. The susceptibility of the isolates was tested on 11 types of antibiotics, which include ampicillin (10 μ g), chloramphenicol (30 μ g), erythromycin (15 μ g), ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), linezolid (30 μ g), nitrofurantoin (300 μ g), norfloxacin (10 μ g), penicillin (10 μ g), rifampicin (5 μ g), tetracycline (30 μ g), and vancomycin (30 μ g). Majority are being used in human medicine and animal production.

The test involved Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method in Muller-Hinton Agar (MHA). Two to three colonies observed in the isolates were randomly selected and transferred using sterile inoculating loop to test tube containing 5 mL of sterile physiological saline (0.9%) and vortexed to produce a suspension matching to 0.5 McFarland standard (Adeniji et al 2021). Using a sterile single channel micropipette, 100 μ L of the suspension was transferred to the agar plate (150 mm Petri dish), uniformly spread using a sterile L-rod and allowed to dry for 5 minutes. After drying, six (6) commercial antibiotic discs (including the control) were impregnated in the agar plates (90 mm diameter) at an equidistant spacing using disc dispenser and sterile forceps. The plates were subjected to 24-hour incubation in an inverted position at 37°C (Igbinosa 2016).

Assessment of antibiotic resistance/susceptibility. The diameter of the zone of inhibition around each disc was measured using a digital Vernier caliper and recorded to nearest millimeter (mm). The measurements were compared to the standard interpretative chart provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2021) for enterobacterales and enterococci to determine the susceptibility (S), intermediate (I) and resistance (R) of isolates against the pool of antibiotics. Isolates exhibiting antibiotic resistance were identified.

Determining multi-antibiotic resistance. After a preliminary susceptibility test, 10 isolates (regardless of sources) from each farm type were selected based on the number of antibiotics they are resistant with. In cases where many isolates showed resistant to the same number of antibiotics, random selection was done. The selected isolates were again subjected to susceptibility testing to verify the previous result and to determine whether there is multi-drug resistant or none. Determining the multi-antibiotic resistant (MAR) isolate was based on the description of Magioarakos et al (2012), in which a minimum of three antibiotic classes will be used as reference point. The antibiotic resistant isolates were interpreted using the formula for Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Index (MARI) (Krumperman 1983):

Number of antibiotics to which resistance occurred MARI = -----

Number of antibiotics tested

This index is considered an efficient method of tracking antibiotic resistant organisms (Sandhu et al 2016). A value of 0.2 was used as reference point in order to differentiate low-risk and high-risk farms (Davis & Brown 2016). A value of more than 0.2 indicates that isolates are from high-risk sources (Kathleen et al 2016).

Bacterial identification. Bacterial identification was done by submitting pure isolated culture to a private sequencing company. Inclusive in the services are DNA extraction, PCR amplification and purification, bidirectional sequencing and contig assembly. Based on their protocol, extraction involved the use of 20 μ L distilled water (DW), 20 μ L ThermoFisher prepman and the sample colony (spin down to 13000 rpm for 1 min). After extraction, only 1.5 μ L of the supernatant was used for PCR amplification. Amplification was carried out using 16S 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-TACGGYTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') universal primers. The PCR mixtures containing the bacterial DNA (20 μ L) were amplified using DNA Engine Tetrad 2 Peltier Thermal Cycler (BIO-RAD). The process stared with the activation of Taq polymerase (MBAE-110 Axen H Taq PCR Master Mix, 2x) at 95°C for 5 mins, followed by denaturation at 95°C for 30 sec, annealing process 35 cycles at 55°C for 30 sec and extension at 72°C for 1 min, with final extension at 72°C for 7 mins. The sequences of the PCR products were subjected to cleaning and analyzed with nucleotide Basic Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) provided by the National Center of Biology Information (NCBI).

Results. Based on the result of preliminary test (Tables 1-3), isolates of esculinhydrolyzing bacteria are mostly resistant towards six (6) types of antibiotics. These include ampicillin, erythromycin, linezolid, penicillin, rifampicin and vancomycin. Comparing the response in accordance with water sources (Table 2), highest resistance rate to rifampicin and vancomycin (86.67%) was observed in river-dependent while resistance to linezolid and rifampicin (86.67%) was recorded in groundwater-dependent farms. Meanwhile, it was found that isolates from the water of river-dependent farms are susceptible to other kinds of antibiotics such as chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin, and tetracycline. As to groundwater-dependent farms, some are resistant to chloramphenicol (6.67%) and nitrofurantoin (6.67%) but all isolates showed susceptibility to ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin and tetracycline.

Table 1

A 111 · 11	Resistance rate of isolates to antibiotics (%)		
Antibiotics	River-dependent farms	Groundwater-dependent farms	
Ampicillin	73.33	80.00	
Chloramphenicol	0.00	6.67	
Erythromycin	53.33	40.00	
Ciprofloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Linezolid	73.33	86.67	
Nitrofurantoin	0.00	6.67	
Norfloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Penicillin	80.00	53.33	
Rifampicin	86.67	86.67	
Tetracycline	0.00	0.00	
Vancomycin	86.67	80.00	

Resistance rate of isolates from water sources towards antibiotics

With regard to sediment sources (Table 2), majority of the isolates from river-dependent farms showed higher resistance to linezolid (80.00%), rifampicin (80.00%) and vancomycin (80.00%) while the highest resistance in groundwater-dependent farms was towards ampicillin (73.33%). As also indicated, isolates from river-dependent farms showed higher resistance against some antibiotics to include erythromycin, penicillin, rifampicin and vancomycin compared to the isolated from the sediments of groundwater-dependent farms. However, antibiotics such as chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin, and norfloxacin effectively suppressed the isolates from both farm types.

Table 2

Resistance rate of isolates from sediment sources to antibiotics

Antibiotics	Resistance rate of isolates to antibiotics (%)		
AIILIDIOLICS	River-dependent farms	Groundwater-dependent farms	
Ampicillin	73.33	73.33	
Chloramphenicol	0.00	0.00	
Erythromycin	40.00	20.00	
Ciprofloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Linezolid	80.00	46.67	
Nitrofurantoin	0.00	0.00	
Norfloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Penicillin	66.67	40.00	
Rifampicin	80.00	26.67	
Tetracycline	6.67	6.67	
Vancomycin	80.00	40.00	

In terms of bacterial isolates from fish (Table 3), highest resistance was towards ampicillin (100.00%) and rifampicin (100.00%) for river-dependent and only towards vancomycin (93.33%) for groundwater-dependent farms. Meanwhile, susceptibility was observed towards chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin and tetracycline. The same

response was found on isolates from groundwater farms except for tetracycline (6.67%) as few showed resistance. Looking deeper at the details, higher rate of resistance was found in river-dependent isolates than those from groundwater farms in general. However, higher number of antibiotics to which the isolates are resistance with was recorded in groundwater-dependent farms.

Table 3

Antibiotics	Resistance rate of isolates to antibiotics (%)		
	River-dependent farms	Groundwater-dependent farms	
Ampicillin	100.00	80.00	
Chloramphenicol	0.00	0.00	
Erythromycin	33.33	40.00	
Ciprofloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Linezolid	80.00	60.00	
Nitrofurantoin	0.00	0.00	
Norfloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Penicillin	93.33	73.33	
Rifampicin	100.00	86.67	
Tetracycline	0.00	6.67	
Vancomycin	93.33	93.33	

Resistance rate of isolates from fish sources towards antibiotics

Based on pooled data (Table 4), isolates from river-dependent farms were mostly resistant to six (6) types of antibiotics including rifampicin (88.89%), vancomycin (86.67%) ampicillin (82.22%), penicillin (80.00%), linezolid (77.78%) and erythromycin (42.22%), with few isolates that were resistant to tetracycline (2.22%). At the same time, none of these isolates were resistant to chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin and norfloxacin. Meanwhile, there were higher number of antibiotics to which the isolates were resistance in groundwater-dependent farms. However, lower rate of resistance was recorded in terms of ampicillin (77.78%), vancomycin (71.11%), rifampicin (66.67%), linezolid (64.44%) and erythromycin (33.33%). Isolates from these farms showed only susceptibility towards ciprofloxacin and norfloxacin.

Table 4

Antibiotics	Resistance rate of isolates to antibiotics (%)		
AIILIDIOLICS	River-dependent farms	Groundwater-dependent farms	
Ampicillin	82.22	77.78	
Chloramphenicol	0.00	2.22	
Erythromycin	42.22	33.33	
Ciprofloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Linezolid	77.78	64.44	
Nitrofurantoin	0.00	2.22	
Norfloxacin	0.00	0.00	
Penicillin	80.00	55.56	
Rifampicin	88.89	66.67	
Tetracycline	2.22	4.44	
Vancomycin	86.67	71.11	

Resistance rate of pooled isolates towards antibiotics

Table 5 and 6 indicate the computed MARI of selected isolates from river-dependent farms and groundwater-dependent farms, respectively. Most of the representative isolates in river-dependent farms were from water samples while in groundwater-dependent farms, mostly were from fish samples. This was a result of random selection. Nevertheless, the result of further antibiotic susceptibility testing revealed that all selected

isolates from both farms showed MARI of > 0.2, indicating that the obtained isolates of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria are from high-risk areas contaminated with antibiotics.

Table 5

Multiple antibiotic resistance index of selected isolates from river-dependent farms with the highest number of antibiotics to which they are resistant

Isolate	No. of antibiotics	MARI	Remarks
A1 (P1W1)	5.33±1.15	0.48 ± 0.10	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A2 (P1S2)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A3 (P2W2)	6.67±0.58	0.61 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A4 (P2F1)	6.67±0.58	0.61 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A5 (P3W2)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A6 (P4W2)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A7 (P4F1)	6.33±0.58	0.58 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
8A (P4F2)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A9 (P5S3)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
A10 (P2W3)	6.33±0.58	0.58 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)

Table 6

Multiple antibiotic resistance index of selected isolates from groundwater-dependent farms with the highest number of antibiotics to which they are resistant

Isolate	No. of antibiotics	MARI	Remarks
B1 (P1W1)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55±0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B2 (P1F1)	6.00 ± 0.00	0.55 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B3 (P1F2)	6.67±0.58	0.61 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B4 (P2F3)	5.00 ± 0.00	0.45 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B5 (P3S1)	5.67±0.58	0.52 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B6 (P4W1)	7.67±0.58	0.70 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B7 (P5W2)	7.00±0.00	0.64 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B8 (P5F1)	7.00±1.73	0.64 ± 0.16	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B9 (P5F2)	5.00 ± 0.00	0.45 ± 0.00	> 0.2 (high-risk)
B10 (P5F3)	4.33±0.58	0.39 ± 0.05	> 0.2 (high-risk)

As shown in Table 7, the identified bacterial isolates belong to the genera of *Acinetobacter, Aeromonas, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Kosakonia, Phytobacter,* and *Superficieibacter*. In river-dependent farms, most of the isolates are *Klebsiella* sp. (60.00%) while in groundwater-dependent farms, *Aeromonas* sp. (40.00%) dominate. *Acinetobacter, Enterobacter, Kosakonia,* and *Phytobacter* were not found in the selected isolates of river-dependent farms while *Superficieibacter* is not present in groundwater-dependent farms.

Table 7

Bacterial species identification of selected isolates from river-dependent and groundwater dependent farms after BLASTn analysis

Species	Distribution (%)		
	River-dependent farms	Groundwater-dependent farms	
Acinetobacter sp.	0.00	10.00	
Aeromonas sp.	30.00	40.00	
Enterobacter sp.	0.00	20.00	
Klebsiella sp.	60.00	10.00	
<i>Kosakonia</i> sp.	0.00	10.00	
Phytobacter sp.	0.00	10.00	
Superficieibacter sp.	10.00	0.00	

Discussion. Of the 11 types of antibiotics tested, the isolates showed high resistance rate towards ampicillin, rifampicin, vancomycin, linezolid, penicillin and erythromycin. The result suggests the contamination between farm types to these kinds of antibiotics.

Ampicillin is an extended spectrum of penicillin that is widely used against Grampositive as well as Gram-negative microorganisms, specifically to manage and treat certain bacterial infections (Kaushik et al 2014; Peechakara et al 2023). In aquaculture, ampicillin is among the most commonly used β -lactams (Bondad-Reantaso et al 2023). However, because of widespread dependence on β -lactams resistance increases (Vasoo et al 2015), several bacterial organisms, especially the Gram-negative ones become resistant to β -lactams due to the production of wide range of β -lactamase enzyme (Karami et al 2008; Bush & Bradford 2016). This enzyme hydrolyzes the opening of β lactam ring, rendering the antibiotics unable to target the penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs) (Mora-Ochomogo & Lohans 2021). Isolates of aquatic Gram-negative bacilli exhibit high resistance rate (76.29%) against ampicillin (Sivri et al 2012). Isolates of *Aeromonas* spp. from *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus* in Uganda were reported to have 100% resistant rate towards penicillin and ampicillin (Wamala et al 2018).

Rifampicin is another antibiotic frequently used in aquaculture; however, the effectiveness of this antibiotic and other types of rifamycins are now declining due to the occurrence of resistant bacterial strains (Bondad-Reantaso et al 2023). It has been described that resistance to rifampicin arises when the β -subunit is substituted by a single amino acid (Hughes & Brandis 2013). Resistance to rifampicin has been extensively investigated with *E. coli* (Rodriguez-Vedugo et al 2013), but resistance was also reported to some fish bacterial pathogens (Gufe et al 2022; Gao et al 2023).

Resistance to linezolid is uncommon and rarely encountered phenomenon among Gram-positive bacteria. Moreover, the antibiotic is ineffective against Gram-negative bacteria due to an efflux mechanism (Winston et al 2009). Emergence of linezolidresistant bacteria is associated with the mutations in the genes of ribosomal proteins or acquisition of cfr gene (Kosecka-Strojek et al 2020; Lienen et al 2022). Isolates of enterococci from fish were found to have a resistant rate of 69.23% (Rana et al 2023).

Vancomycin, a glycopeptide, is commonly used as treatment for severe infections caused by multi-drug resistant Gram-positive cocci (Olufunmiso et al 2017; Muhlberg et al 2020). However, resistant strains are increasing nowadays. Vancomycin resistance among these bacteria is due to the alteration of peptidoglycan terminus, resulting the reduction of the antibiotic binding capacity (Kumar 2017). Similar to linezolid, vancomycin is generally ineffective to Gram-negative bacteria. This is attributed to its relatively large molecular size, rendering inability to penetrate the outer membrane barrier of the organisms (Fernandes et al 2017; Antonoplis et al 2019).

The resistance to erythromycin, a macrolide has been commonly linked to the modification of ribosomal binding site as a result of methylase synthesis (Mahfouz et al 2023). Other mechanisms include the elimination of the antibiotics by efflux system and the production of macrolide phosphorylases. In the study of Marijani (2022), enteric bacteria isolated from marine and freshwater fishes exhibited resistance to some kinds of antibiotics including erythromycin. A study on *E. coli* and *Salmonella* from farmed-raised red tilapia showed high resistance rate (Dewi et al 2022).

Meanwhile, susceptibility and low resistance rate were observed towards chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin and tetracycline. The result suggests that these antibiotics are still effective in suppressing the growth and potential threat of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria in the area. Chloramphenicol inhibits protein synthesis by binding to the 50S ribosomal subunits of the bacterium. Similarly, tetracycline disrupts protein synthesis but by binding to the 30S subunit of the ribosome, thereby preventing the association of aminoacyl-tRNA to the messenger RNA molecule (Chopra & Roberts 2001). Ciprofloxacin is an antibiotic in the fluoroquinolone class and it suppresses bacteria by disrupting their ability to create and repair DNA. This antibiotic inhibits most strains (> 90%) of Gram-negative aerobes and certain Gram-positive bacteria (Doble 2007). The bactericidal effect of nitrofurantoin is on the inhibition of carbohydrate synthesis by interfering the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl coenzyme A. Nitrofurantoin is bactericidal against *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterococcus* and other

enteric bacteria; resistance to this antibiotic remains relatively rare (Squadrito & del Portal 2023). Lastly, the mechanism of norfloxacin to suppress bacteria is by inhibiting the A subunit of the important enzyme DNA gyrase (Goldstein 1987).

As revealed in this study, the isolates of multi-antibiotic resistant esculinhydrolyzing bacteria from both farms belong to Gram-negative coliform category, of which considered as good indicator of fecal contamination. The high resistance to penicillin, ampicillin and erythromycin is in line with the report of Nchanji et al (2021) in the isolates of Gram-negative coliform bacteria from earthen tilapia ponds. It is important to point out that the incidence of resistance towards β -lactams and macrolides could be due to the frequency of their usage in animal and human medicine. Meanwhile, high resistance observed to linezolid and vancomycin can be attributed to the innate ability of Gram-negative isolates to resist the effect of these antibiotics. Previous studies also showed the resistance of some esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria to other antibiotics. Studied isolates of Enterobacter from fish ponds are resistant to various kinds of antibiotics to include ceftazidime, cefuroxime, cefixime and amoxicillin/clavulanic acid (Ayedun et al 2022). Acinetobacter was also identified in this study; however, it is not an esculinpositive one. Isolation suggests its ability to grow in the medium. It is commonly regarded as a major factor in transmitting antibiotic resistance gene. The species A. baumanii was reported to have extraordinary capacity to develop resistance towards multiple antibiotics (Dijkshoorn et al 2007). Similarly, the species A. Iwoffi is resistant to a range of antibiotics and regarded as a causative agent for nosocomial infections (Dadar et al 2016). In the study of Kozinzka et al (2014), several Acinetobacter isolates from fish showed resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin and cephalothin. Aeromonas could be one of the most studied pathogens in tilapia as it is typically associated with unwarranted diseases and mortality (Pakingking et al 2020). Aeromonas spp. are resistant to several types of antibiotics and can be spread to humans through consumption of fish or direct contact with fish environment (Sherif & Kassab 2023). Aeromonas strains are good indicator of antibiotic resistance development in fish farms (Patil et al 2016). As reviewed, isolates from freshwater fish farms are resistant to β -lactam and lincosamide antibiotics, but susceptible towards aminoglycosides, chloramphenicol and fluoroquinolones (Zdanowicz et al 2020). Recently, A. hydrophila isolated from tilapia grown in brackishwater ponds showed high resistance rate to ampicillin, amoxicillin and ervthromycin (Pakingking et al 2020). Several reports indicated multi-antibiotic resistance of some esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria from fish and their environment (Sarter et al 2007; Adinortey et al 2020; Tsafack et al 2021; Preena et al 2022). For example, *Enterobacter* and *Klebsiella* spp. isolated from small-medium scale tilapia farms in Nigeria were found to have a MAR index of 0.5 to 0.9 (Fakorede et al 2020).

Even though farm owners/caretakers stated that they are not using antibiotics, there is high rate of resistance among isolates from water, sediment and fish against several types of antibiotics. This relatively high rate of resistance connotes the existence of antibiotic residues and contamination. Nearby rivers bring antibiotic compounds from domestic and hospital wastewaters in the farming systems, and ponds that are difficult for water exchange allow antibiotics to impose selective pressure on bacteria (Lin et al 2023). According to farm caretakers, they seldom change pond water because of tidal schedule and expensive cost of pumping underground water. This practice could increase the probability of occurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria. Studies have also linked the occurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria in fish farms to livestock and poultry production. The transmission via water could potentially facilitated through draining of wastewaters into natural water bodies such as lakes, rivers and swamps (Deng et al 2023). Farms participated in the current undertaking are located in areas where poultry or swine production is carried out. Although not studied, it is plausible that antibiotic residues, resistant bacteria, and resistant genes could enter the ponds from these areas through direct discharge of wastewaters to rivers or indirect transfer through run-offs. Moreover, it has been observed in this study that caretakers are keeping other animals such as chickens, ducks, pigs, dogs and goats roaming around the farm premises. These animals could also be potential factor for bacterial pollution (Deng et al 2023).

Conclusions. The study provides first-hand information regarding the presence of antibiotic resistant esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria from river-dependent and groundwaterdependent tilapia farms in Pampanga, Philippines. Esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria showing high rate of resistance belong to coliform group and considered as indicator of antibiotic pollution. Generally, isolates from river-dependent farms exhibited higher rate of resistance to some antibiotics tested across sources (water, sediment and fish) than their groundwater-dependent counterparts. Meanwhile, antibiotics such as chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, norfloxacin and tetracycline can still effectively inhibit esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria, specifically the Gram-negative ones. However, MAR index indicated that selected isolates from both farm types are resistant to multiple types of antibiotics. From this result, river-dependent and groundwater-dependent farms in Pampanga are already contaminated with antibiotic compounds and can be considered high-risk areas. Moreover, the isolates were identified to be potentially pathogenic and clinically important. Based on the result of sequencing, the multi-antibiotics resistant esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria isolated from this study belong to the genera of Aeromonas, Enterobacter, Klebsiella, Kosakonia, Phytobacter, and Superficieibacter. Of these, Klebsiella sp. dominate in river-dependent farms while Aeromonas sp. are common in groundwater-dependent farms. The presence of multi-antibiotic bacterial strains could pose serious threats in tilapia production as well as the health of consumers. It is crucial to identify the primary source of antibiotic contamination among farm areas. The source of water could not be a major factor for the emergence of multi-antibiotic resistant bacteria as there is comparable risk between river-dependent and groundwaterdependent farms. Further studies are essential for better understanding of the dynamics of antibiotic resistance among these bacteria to develop a concrete surveillance system in the future.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to express their profound gratitude to the Department of Science and Technology for the funding and the Pampanga State Agricultural University – Laboratory Animal Research Center for allowing the researchers to conduct several laboratory activities at their premises. Also, special thanks to the Municipal Agriculture Officers of the municipalities of Minalin and Magalang, Pampanga for the assistance that they have provided during the collection of samples.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Adeniji O. O., Sibanda T., Okoh A. I., 2021 Molecular detection of antibiotic resistance and virulence gene determinants of *Enterococcus* species isolated from coastal water in the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. International Journal of Environmental Studies 78(2):208-227.
- Adinortey C. A., Aheto D. W., Boateng A. A., Agbeko R., 2020 Multiple antibiotic resistance-coliform bacteria in some selected fish farms of the central region of Ghana. Hindawi Scientifica 2020:6641461.
- Agoba E. E., Adu F., Agyare C., Boama V. E., Boakye Y. D., 2017 Antibiotic resistance patterns of bacterial isolates from hatcheries and selected fish farms in the Ashanti region of Ghana. Journal of Microbiology and Antimicrobials 9(4):35-46.
- Antonoplis A., Zang X., Wegner T., Wender P. A., Cegelski L., 2019 Vancomycin-arginine conjugate inhibits growth of carbapenem-resistant *E. coli* and targets cell-wall synthesis. ACS Chemical Biology 14(9):2065-2070.
- Ayedun J., Ezeamagu C., Osisami T., Owolabi L. S., 2022 Antibiotic and gene profiles of Enterobacteriaceae from selected fish ponds in Southwest Nigeria. Fountain Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences 11(1):13-21.
- Bondad-Reantaso M. G., MacKinnon B., Karunasagar I., Fridman S., Alday-Sanz V., Brun E., Le Groumellec M., Li A., Surachetpong W., Karunasagar I., Hao B., Dall'Occo A., Urbani R., Caputo A., 2023 Review of alternatives to antibiotic use in aquaculture. Reviews in Aquaculture 15(4):1421-1451.

- Bush K., Bradford P. A., 2016 β -Lactams and β -Lactamase inhibitors: an overview. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine 6(8):a025247.
- Chopra I., Roberts M., 2001 Tetracycline antibiotics: mode of action, applications, molecular biology, and epidemiology of bacterial resistance. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 65(2):232-260.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI), 2021 Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 31st Ed. CLSI Supplement M100 (ISBN 978-1-68440-104-8 [Print]; ISBN 978-168440-105-5 [electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 315 pp.
- Dadar M., Adel M., Zorriehzahra M. J., 2016 Isolation and phylogenic analysis of emerging new antibiotic resistant bacteria, *Acinetobacter Iwoffii*, associated with mortality in farmed rainbow trout. Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 15(4):1279-1292.
- Davis R., Brown P. D., 2016 Multiple antibiotic resistance index, fitness and virulence potential in respiratory *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from Jamaica. Journal of Medical Microbiology 65(4):251-271.
- Debnath S. C., McMurtrie J., Temperton B., Delamare-Deboutteville J., Mohan C.V., Tyler C. R., 2023 Tilapia aquaculture, emerging diseases, and the roles of the skin microbiomes in health and disease. Aquaculture International 31(4):2945-2976.
- Deng Y. T., Feng Y. Y., Tan A. P., Zhao F., Jiang L., Huang Z. B., 2023 High occurrence of multiple-drug resistance mediated by integron in *Aeromonas* isolated from fish-livestock integrated farms. Hindawi Aquaculture Research 2023:1-12.
- Dewi R. R., Hassan L., Daud H. M., Matori M. F., Nordin F., Ahmad N. I., Zakaria Z., 2022 Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and *Vibrio* derived from farm-raised red hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.) and Asian sea bass (*Lates calcarifer*, Bloch 1970) on the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. Antibiotics 11(2):136.
- Dijkshoorn L., Nemec A., Seifert H., 2007 An increasing threat in hospitals: multidrugresistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. Nature Reviews Microbiology 5(12):939-951.
- Doble A., 2007 Ciprofloxacin. In: XPharm: the comprehensive pharmacology reference. Enna S. J., Bylund D. B. (eds), Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 1-8.
- Fakorede C. N., Fatokun E. N., Philip-Kantiok B., Iwu C. J., Jaja I. F., 2020 Bacteriological quality and antibiotics' susceptibility profile of small-medium scale commercial fish farms in Nigeria. The Open Agriculture Journal 14:198-208.
- Fernandes M. M., Ivanova K., Hoyo J., Perez-Rafael S., Francesko A., Tzanov T., 2017 Nanotransformation of vancomycin overcomes the intrinsic resistance of gramnegative bacteria. ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces 9(17):15022-15030.
- Ferri G., Lauteri C., Vergara A., 2022 Antibiotic resistance in the finfish aquaculture industry: a review. Antibiotics 11(11):1574.
- Gao Z., Piao Y., Hu B., Yang C., Zhang X., Zheng Q., Cao J., 2023 Investigation of antibiotic resistance genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of marine aquaculture fish carried in the Dalian area of China. Frontiers in Microbiology 14:1222847.
- Gazal L. E. S., De Brito K. C. T., Kobayashi R. K. T., Nakazato G., Cavalli L. S., Otutumi L. K., de Brito B. G., 2020 Antimicrobials and resistant bacteria in global fish farming and the possible risk for public health. Arquivos do Instituto Biológico 87:1-11.
- Goldstein E. J., 1987 Norfloxacin, a fluoroquinolone antibacterial agent. Classification, mechanism of action, and *in vitro* activity. The American Journal of Medicine 82(6B):3-17.
- Gufe C., Karembera B., Marumure J., Makuvara Z., 2022 Lead, silver nitrate and antibiotic resistance in bacteria isolated from Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in anthropogenically polluted Lake Chivero, Zimbabwe. Cogent Food and Agriculture 8(1):1-14.
- Haenen O. L. M., Dong H. T., Hoai T. D., Crumlish M., Karunasagar I., Barkham T., Chen S. L., Zadoks R., Kiermeier A., Wang B., Gamarro E. G., Takeuchi M., Azmai M. N. A., Fouz B., Pakingking R., Wei Z. W., Bondad-Reantaso M. G., 2023 Bacterial diseases of tilapia, their zoonotic potential and risk of antimicrobial resistance. Reviews in Aquaculture 15(1):154-185.

- Hossain A., Habibullah-Al-Mamun M., Nagano I., Masunaga S., Kitazawa D., Matsuda H., 2021 Antibiotics, antibiotic-resistant bacteria, and resistance genes in aquaculture: risks, current concern, and future thinking. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International 29(8):11054-11075.
- Hughes D., Brandis G., 2013 Rifampicin resistance: fitness costs and the significance of compensatory evolution. Antibiotics 2(2):206-216.
- Igbinosa E. O., 2016 Detection and antimicrobial resistance of vibrio isolates in aquaculture environments: implications for public health. Microbial Drug Resistance 22(3):238-245.
- Kam K. Y., Jaafar M. F., Sani M. H., Suhaimi M. S., Zain N. A. M., 2022 Prevalence of antibiotic resistance bacteria in aquaculture sources in Johor, Malaysia. Journal of Tropical Life Science 12(2):207-218.
- Karami N., Hannoun C., Adlerberth I., Wold A. E., 2008 Colonization dynamics of ampicillin-resistant *Escherichia coli* in the infantile colonic microbiota. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 62(4):703-708.
- Kathleen M. M., Samuel L., Felecia C., Reagan E. L., Kasing A., Lesley M., Toh S. C., 2016 Antibiotic resistance of diverse bacteria from aquaculture in Borneo. International Journal of Microbiology 2016(4):1-9.
- Kaushik D., Mohan M., Borade D. M., Swami O. C., 2014 Ampicillin: rise fall and resurgence. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 8(5):1-3.
- Kosecka-Strojek M., Sadowy E., Gawryszewska I., Klepacka J., Tomasik T., Michalik M., Hryniewicz W., Miedzobrodzki J., 2020 Emergence of linezolid-resistant *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in the tertiary children's hospital in Cracow, Poland. European Journal of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 39(9):1717-1725.
- Kozinska A., Pazdzior E., Pekala A., Niemczuck W., 2014 *Acinetobacter johnsonii* and *Acinetobacter lwoffii* the emerging fish pathogens. Journal of Veterinary Research 58(2):193-199.
- Krumperman P. H., 1983 Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. Applied Environmental Microbiology 46(1):165-170.
- Kumar P., 2017 Pharmacology of specific drug groups: antibiotic therapy. In: Pharmacology and therapeutics for dentistry. 7th edition. Dowd F. J., Johnson B. S., Mariotti A. J. (eds), Elsevier, pp. 457-487.
- Lienen T., Grobbel M., Tenhagen B. A., Maurischat S., 2022 Plasmid-coded linezolid resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from food and livestock in Germany. Antibiotics 11(12):1-9.
- Lin X., Tan A., Deng Y., Liu W., Zhao F., Huang Z., 2023 High occurrence of antibiotic resistance genes in intensive aquaculture of hybrid snakehead fish. Frontiers in Marine Science 9.
- Lulijwa R., Rupia E. J., Alfaro A. C., 2020 Antibiotic use in aquaculture, policies and regulation, health and environmental risks: a review of the top 15 major producers. Reviews in Aquaculture 12(2):640-663.
- Magiorakos A. P., Srinivasan A., Carey R. B., Carmeli Y., Falagas M. E., Giske C. G., Harbarth S., Hindler J. F., Kahlmeter G., Olsson-Liljequist B., Paterson D. L., Rice L. B., Stelling J., Struelens M. J., Vatopoulos A., Weber J. T., Monnet D. L., 2012 Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 18(3):268-281.
- Mahfouz A. A., Said H. S., Elfeky S. M., Shaaban M. I., 2023 Inhibition of erythromycin and erythromycin-induced resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates. Antibiotics 12(3):1-23.
- Marijani E., 2022 Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of bacteria isolated from marine and freshwater fish in Tanzania. International Journal of Microbiology 2022: 4652326.
- Miranda C. D., Godoy F. A., Lee M. R., 2018 Current status of the use of antibiotics and the antimicrobial resistance in the Chilean salmon farms. Frontiers in Microbiology 9:1284.

- Mora-Ochomogo M., Lohans C. T., 2021 β-Lactam antibiotic targets and resistance mechanisms: from covalent inhibitors to substrates. RSC Medicinal Chemistry 12(10):1623-1639.
- Muhlberg E., Umstatter F., Kleist C., Domhan C., Mier W., Uhl P., 2020 Renaissance of vancomycin: approaches for breaking antibiotic resistance in multidrug-resistant bacteria. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 66(1):11-16.
- Nchanji G. T., Kemajou A. L. T., Fossi B. T., Tchatat N. M., Ritter M., Wanji M., 2022 Site specific bacterial load, enterobacterial occurrence and antibiotic susceptibility patterns in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), water and sediment from earthen aquaculture ponds. PAMJ-One Health 7:16.
- Neela F. A., Banu N. A., Rahman M. A., Rahman M. H., Alam M. F., 2015 Occurrence of antibiotic resistant bacteria in pond water associated with integrated poultry-fish farming in Bangladesh. Sains Malaysiana 44(3):371-377.
- Okocha R. C., Olatoye I. O., Adedeji O. B., 2018 Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in aquaculture. Public Health Reviews 39:21.
- Olufunmiso O., Tolulope I., Roger C., 2017 Multidrug and vancomycin resistance among clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* from different teaching hospitals in Nigeria. African Health Sciences 17(3):797-807.
- Pakingking F. G., Pendon J. D. G., Flores P. J. H., Padernal T. R. G., Plomantes E. A., Alvarado D. R., 2020 Antibiotics susceptibility profile of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolates from tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) cultured in brackish water earthen ponds to commonly used antibiotics in aquaculture: implications in zoonosis. Ad Sapentiam 12:64-87.
- Patil H. J., Benet-Perelberg A., Naor A., Smirnov M., Ofek T., Nasser A., Minz D., Cytryn E., 2016 Evidence of increased antibiotic resistance in phylogenetically-diverse *Aeromonas* isolates from semi-intensive fish ponds treated with antibiotics. Frontiers in Microbiology 7:1-12.
- Peechakara B. V., Basit H., Gupta M., 2023 Ampicillin. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK519569/. Accessed: August, 2023.
- Pepi M., Focardi S., 2021 Antibiotic-resistant bacteria in aquaculture and climate change: a challenge for health in the Mediterranean Area. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 18(11):5723.
- Petersen A., Andersen J. S., Kaewmak T., Somsiri T., Dalsgaard A., 2002 Impact of integrated fish farming on antimicrobial resistance in a pond environment. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68(12):6036-6042.
- Preena P. G., Dharmaratnam A., Swaminathan T. R., 2022 A peek into mass mortality caused by antimicrobial resistant *Edwardsiella tarda* in goldfish, *Carassius auratus* in Kerala. Biologia 77(4):1161-1171.
- Rana M. L., Firdous Z., Ferdous F. B., Ullah M. A., Siddique M. P., Rahman M. T., 2023 Antimicrobial resistance, biofilm formation, and virulence determinants in *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from cultured and wild fish. Antibiotics 12(9):1375.
- Reyes A. T., 2018 Outbreak investigation of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in tilapia grow-out farms in Minalin, Pampanga, Philippines. International Journal of Biology, Pharmacy, and Allied Sciences 7(8):1464-1473.
- Reyes A. T., Reyes A. T., 2019 Profiling of the cultural and management practices of tilapia grow-out operators in Lubao, Pampanga, Philippines using Geographic Information System. International Journal of Biology, Pharmacy and Allied Sciences 8(3):594-616.
- Rodriguez-Verdugo A., Gaut B. S., Tenaillon O., 2013 Evolution of *Escherichia coli* rifampicin resistance in an antibiotic-free environment during thermal stress. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13(1):50.
- Roh H. J., Kim A., Kang G. S., Kim D. H., 2016 Photoinactivation of major bacterial pathogens in aquaculture. Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 19:28.
- Romero J., Feijoó C. G., Navarrete P., 2012 Antibiotics in aquaculture use, abuse and alternatives. In: Health and environment in aquaculture. Carvalho E. D., David G. S., Silva R. J. (eds), INTECH Open, pp. 159-198.

- Sandhu R., Dahiya S., Sayal P., 2016 Evaluation of multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index and Doxycycline susceptibility of *Acinetobacter* species among inpatients. Indian Journal of Microbiology Research 3(3):299-304.
- Sarter S., Nguyen H. N. K., Hung L. T., Lazard J., Montet D., 2007 Antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria isolated from farmed catfish. Food Control 18(11):1391-1396.
- Schar D., Zhao C., Wang Y., Joakim Larsson D. G., Gilbert M., Van Boeckel T. P., 2021 Twenty-year trends in antimicrobial resistance from aquaculture and fisheries in Asia. Nature Communication 12:5384.
- Sherif A. H., Kassab A. S., 2023 Multidrug-resistant *Aeromonas* bacteria prevalence in Nile tilapia broodstock. BMC Microbiology 23:80.
- Sivri N., Sandalli C., Ozgumus O. B., Colakoglu F., Dogan D., 2012 Antibiotic resistance profiles of enteric bacteria isolated from Kucukcekmece Lagoon (Istanbul-Turkey). Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 12:699-707.
- Squadrito F. J., del Portal D., 2023 Nitrofurantoin. In: StatPearls. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK470526/. Accessed: May, 2023.
- Thaotumpitak V., Sripradite J., Atwill E. R., Tepaamorndech S., Jeamsripong S., 2022 Bacterial pathogens and factors associated with *Salmonella* contamination in hybrid red tilapia (*Oreochromis* spp.) cultivated in a cage culture system. Food Quality and Safety 6:fyac036.
- Tsafack J. J. T., Tchuenchieu D. A. K., Mouafo H. T., Baomog M. A. B., Adjele J. J. B., Medjo E. K., Djuikoo I. L. N., Ndakoh B. T., Matchawe C., Sasanya J., Medoua G. N., 2021 Microbial assessment and antibiotic susceptibility profile of bacterial fish isolates in an aquaculture production site in Mefou Afamba Division of Cameroon. Journal of Environmental Science and Engineering B10:20-30.
- Vasoo S., Barreto J. N., Tosh P. K. 2015 Emerging issues in gram-negative bacterial resistance: an update for the practicing clinician. Mayo Clinic Proceedings 90(3): 395-403.
- Wamala S. P., Mugimba K. K., Mutoloki S., Evensen O., Mdegela R., Byarugaba D. K., Sorum H., 2018 Occurrence and antibiotic susceptibility of fish bacteria isolated from *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia) and *Clarias gariepinus* (African catfish) in Uganda. Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 21:6.
- Wang C., Liu X., Yang Y., Wang Z., 2021 Antibiotic and antibiotic resistance genes in freshwater aquaculture ponds in China: a meta-analysis and assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production 329:129719.
- Watts J. E. M., Schreier H. J., Lanska L., Hale M. S., 2017 The rising tide of antimicrobial resistance in aquaculture: sources, sinks and solutions. Marine Drugs 15(6):158.
- Winston W. L., Deck D., Bolger A. F., 2009 Chapter 81 Endocarditis. In: Pharmacology and therapeutics: principles to practice. W.B. Saunders, pp. 1121-1140.
- Yuan X., Lv Z., Zhang Z., Han Y., Liu Z., Zhang H., 2023 A review of antibiotics, antibiotic resistant bacteria, and resistance genes in aquaculture: occurrence, contamination, and transmission. Toxics 11(5):420.
- Zdanowicz M., Mudryk Z. J., Perlinski P., 2020 Abundance and antibiotic resistance of *Aeromonas* isolated from the water of three carp ponds. Veterinary Research Communications 44:9-18.

Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, e-mail: mendoza.dante@clsu2.edu.ph

How to cite this article:

Received: 06 January 2024. Accepted: 25 January 2024. Published online: 28 February 2024. Authors:

Dante Marcha Mendoza, Central Luzon State University, College of Fisheries, Department of Aquaculture,

Alvin Toledo Reyes, Central Luzon State University, College of Fisheries, Department of Aquatic Post-Harvest, Science City of Muñoz, 3119, Nueva Ecija, Philippines, e-mail: alvinreyes@clsu.edu.ph

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Mendoza D. M., Reyes A. T., 2024 Antibiotic resistance of esculin-hydrolyzing bacteria isolated from tilapia farms with different water sources in Pampanga, Philippines. AACL Bioflux 17(1):479-491.