Close genetic relatedness of whale sharks, *Rhincodon typus* in the Indo-Pacific region
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**Abstract.** The goal of our study was to evaluate genetic diversity of whale sharks (*Rhincodon typus*) observed in Cenderawasih Bay, Indonesia, compared with that of whale sharks elsewhere in the Indo-Pacific region using mitochondrial DNA. We collected tissue samples from 31 whale sharks, virtually all adolescent males, in Cenderawasih Bay and then extracted, amplified, and sequenced mitochondrial DNA from the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene of the mtDNA COI gene. Genetic diversity of whale sharks in Cenderawasih Bay was low. We detected seven haplotypes and estimated haplotype diversity at 0.187 and nucleotide diversity at 0.002. The average number of pairwise differences was 0.254. These data suggest that the whale sharks that we encountered in Cenderawasih Bay were closely related and less related to whale sharks elsewhere in the Indian Ocean and western Pacific Ocean.
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**Introduction.** Cenderawasih Bay (also known as Teluk Cenderawasih) is located on the north side of Papua and West Papua, Indonesia. It is the largest bay in Indonesia and a major priority for conservation of marine resources owing to its rich and diverse marine life, including at least 500 species of corals, more than 1,000 species of fish, and a variety of invertebrates, turtles, and marine mammals (Allen & Erdmann 2009). Commercial fishing, including illegal and unauthorized activities, and harvesting of coral for various purposes has degraded many habitats and reduced some species from overharvesting (Mangubhai et al 2012).

The whale shark (*Rhincodon typus*) is a prominent species in Cenderawasih Bay (Stewart 2011; Hoegh-Guldberg et al 2009). Its recent association with moored lift-net fisheries there has led to an increase in tourism that facilitates encounters for human snorkelers and SCUBA divers with the sharks (Stewart 2011). This association has also supported opportunities for research to discover various elements of their biology, ecology, movements and genetics.

An understanding of the genetic composition of the sharks in Cenderawasih Bay and their relationships to whale sharks elsewhere in the Indian Ocean and Western Pacific Ocean is important for their conservation and to help design marine protected areas. Castro et al (2007) reported on patterns of global genetic diversity in whale sharks using mitochondrial DNA loci but that study did not include samples from Indonesian waters. Our goal was to specifically assess the molecular genetics of whale sharks in Cenderawasih Bay relative to the results of studies conducted elsewhere in the Indian Ocean and the Western Pacific Ocean using the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene of mtDNA.
Material and Method

Sample collection. We collected skin samples from whale sharks in Cenderawasih Bay (Figure 1) in November 2012 (14 samples), April 2013 (2 samples), and June 2013 (15 samples) with modified hog ear notch pliers and small biopsy tips. The samples were preserved in 96% ethanol and stored at 4°C in the laboratory until DNA extraction.

![Image](http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl)  
Figure 1. The location of Cenderawasih Bay (black triangle) in West Papua Province, Indonesia.

DNA extraction, PCR, sequencing. We extracted mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) from the samples using Chelex 5-10% (Bioradm Gercules, CA; Walsh et al 1991) and then amplified the cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene using the Hot-start and Gold program (Saiki et al 1988) with the modified protocol of Barber & Erdmann (2000) and the primer FISH-BCH (5’-TAA ACT TCA GGG TGA CCA AAA AAT CA-3’) and primer FISH-BCL (5’-TCA ACY AAT CAY AAA GAT ATY GGC AC-3; Baldwin et al 2009). We visualized the amplified products by electrophoresis with agarose gel 1% (b/v) following Davis (1964), purified using Shrimp Alkaline Phosphotase (Amersham Biosciences Corporation, Arlington Heights, Illinois, USA) and Exonuclease (Amersham; SAP/EXO). We sequenced COI mtDNA using Big Dye© terminator chemistry (Perkin Elmer). Sequenced products were purified using isopropanol precipitation and visualized using the automatic sequencer ABI377 (Applied Biosystems).

Data analysis. We edited the COI gene sequences with automatic sequencer ABI 377 (Applied Biosystems) and Mega5 (Tamura et al 2011). The nucleotide composition was analysed using Mega5 (Tamura et al 2011). We used the program DnaSP 5.1001 (Librado & Rozas 2009) to assess genetic diversity (polymorphisms, haplotypes, and nucleotides). We compared the results from whale sharks in Cenderawasih Bay with those available in GenBank for nearby areas of the Indian and Western Pacific oceans with the neighbor-joining method (Saitou & Nei 1987) and bootstrap test 1000 times (Felsenstein 1985) using Mega5 (Tamura et al 2011). The analysis was done with representatives of Indo-Pacific region of whale shark sequences available in GenBank (Table 1). Evolutionary distances were calculated using the LogDet method (Tamura & Kumar 2002) and haplotype network with the Network 4.6.
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>FJ519244</td>
<td>Wong et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>FJ456922</td>
<td>Sajeela et al (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>FJ375725</td>
<td>Benson et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>NC_023455</td>
<td>Alam et al (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>FJ519250, FJ519251</td>
<td>Wong et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>KF679782</td>
<td>Alam et al (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>EU398993</td>
<td>Ward et al (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>GU440502</td>
<td>Benson et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>FJ519247</td>
<td>Wong et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>HQ945887, HQ945888, HQ945889</td>
<td>Benson et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Australia</td>
<td>FJ519248, FJ519249</td>
<td>Wong et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>FJ519245, FJ519246</td>
<td>Wong et al (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results and Discussion

**Genetic characteristics.** The fragment length of the COI gene in 32 whale sharks from Cenderawasih Bay ranged from 382 to 731 base pairs (bp). All samples identified in Genbank as, *Rhincodon typus* (Smith 1828) had a similarity percentage of 99-100%. The mean nucleotide composition was C: 22.27%; T: 32.28%; A: 29.61%; G: 15.83%. The changes of mtDNA COI gene nucleotide in Cenderawasih Bay were relatively small and slow, shown by pairing and segregation differences (Figures 2 and 3). Under constant conditions, a mismatch distribution is not significantly different between observation and expectation. A genetic lineage estimation indicates sequence data to be 0.00004 for DeltaSt, 0.01659 for GammaSt, and 14.82 for Nm.

![Figure 2. Nucleotide change with pairwise differences variation in constant population.](image-url)
**Genetic diversity and relatedness.** We detected seven haplotypes among the 31 whale sharks sampled in Cenderawasih Bay for a haplotype diversity (Hd) of 0.1871 (variance = 0.00859, s.d. = 0.093). The nucleotide diversity (π) was 0.00244 (±0.0013) and the values for theta were 0.75094 per shark and 0.00722 per site.

The neighbour-joining tree for individual whale sharks from Cenderawasih Bay and other regions are shown in Figure 4. All 31 whale sharks that we sampled in Cenderawasih Bay appeared to be closely related to each other. They also appeared to be closely related to whale sharks sampled elsewhere in the Indian and Western Pacific oceans (Figure 5; Chi-square, $\chi^2$: 3.620, df: 5, p: 0.605). Haplotype one has highest frequencies (37 individuals), one haplotype has two individuals, and six other haplotypes has each one individual. The three last haplotypes has just one individual, respectively.

The whale sharks that we sampled in Cenderawasih Bay had low genetic diversity (haplotype, nucleotide and polymorphic), similar to that reported for whale sharks in other areas (Castro et al 2007; Schmidt et al 2009). Sharks sampled from other nearby areas similarly had low genetic diversity indicating some degree of connection within this regional group though still distinct from whale sharks sampled in the Atlantic ocean (Castro et al 2007). Sakai et al (2001) argued that genetic diversity reflects the capacity of a population to adapt to changes in environmental conditions. It might also have a role in the invasive potential of a population or species (Drake & Lodge 2006; Lavergne & Molofsky 2007). Some have also suggested that populations or species with lower genetic diversity might be more susceptible to disease, pollution, or changes in habitat or climate (Spielman et al 2004; Hauser et al 2002) though there are a number of examples that challenge that hypothesis.

The distribution of haplotypes among whale sharks in Cenderawasih Bay and nearby areas of the Indian Ocean and Western Pacific Ocean indicates that they are all relatively closely related and that none of the sampled areas are genetically isolated from the others. Other ecological study (Himawan et al 2015) has suggested that individuals in Cenderawasih Bay National Park were dominated by males categorized as juveniles (3-6 m), suggests the area serves as important habitat for young whale sharks, which is in turn important to preserve their genetic diversity.
Figure 4. The Neighbour-joining tree on whale shark individual from Cenderewasih Bay and other region, based on COI sequence data using Kimura-two-parameter substitution model with 1000 bootstrap.
Figure 5. The haplotype network of whale shark individuals within Indo-Pacific region. Circle represents kinds of haplotype with their different frequencies. Cenderawasih Bay consists of seven haplotypes. The color of the fill for each haplotype is indicative of their specific site.

**Conclusions.** We found that the 31 whale sharks that we sampled in Cenderawasih Bay were very closely related and that these sharks were closely related to whale sharks elsewhere in the Indian and Western Pacific oceans. The extent of movements among reproductive sharks in this large region is unknown as is the frequency and magnitude of mating among sharks from these different areas. Further research is needed to monitor the long-term movements of sharks within and among regions, particularly reproductively mature males and females to help design conservation plans for whale sharks and the habitats that they might depend on for feeding and reproducing.
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