## AACL BIOFLUX

### Aquaculture, Aquarium, Conservation & Legislation International Journal of the Bioflux Society

# The effects of mixture commercial live bakers' yeast and probiotic bacillus on growth and feeding performance and survival rate of silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) larvae via bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* nauplii

Hossein Adineh, Hojatollah Jafaryan, Moein Faramarzi, Mohammad Lashkar boloki, Hadi Jamali, and Maryam Alizadeh

Department of Fishery, Gonbad University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, Gonbad, Iran, Postal Code: 4971857765; Corresponding author: H. Adineh, Adineh.h@gmail.com

**Abstract.** *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* (Valenciennes, 1844) larvae is an important species for freshwater aquaculture. This study evaluated the effects of feeding a blend of probiotic bacilli bacteria (*B. polymixa, B. licheniformis, B. circulans*) and baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on growth and feeding parameters and survival rate of Silver carp larvae. *Artemia urmiana* (Gúnther, 1899) nauplii is an important live food that was used as a vector to carry probiotic bacillus to digestive tract of silver carp larvae. The fish larvae were fed at a level of 10 percent body weight at 4 times a day for 30 days. Fish larvae in experimental treatments were fed *A. urmiana* nauplii that were enriched by blend of 50 percent of *Bacillus* spp. with concentrations of  $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3 \times 10^5$  and  $4 \times 10^5$  CFU mL<sup>-1</sup> and 50 percent of baker's yeast with concentrations of  $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3 \times 10^5$  and  $4 \times 10^5$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> (T1, T2, T3, T4, respectively) and were compared to fish larvae fed control diets of unbioencapsulated *A. urmiana* nauplii. The experiment indicated that feeding and growth parameters in fish fed experimental treatments were significantly higher than fish fed control diets (P<0.05) but survival rate did not significantly differ. Overall, the best group was fed the highest level of yeast and probiotic.

**Key Words**: probiotic, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Artemia* nauplii, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*, bioencapsulation.

چكيده: لارو ماهى كپور نقره اى (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Valenciennes 1844) يكى از مهمترين گونه هاى پرورشى آب شيرين است. در اين مطالعه اثرات مخلوط باسيل هاى پروبيوتيكى (باسيلوس پلى ميكسا، باسيلوس ليشنى فورميس و باسيلوس سيركولانس) و مخمر نقوايى (ساكارومايسيس سروبسيا) بر روى كار ايى تغذيه، رشد و نرخ بقاه لارو ماهى كپور نقره اى از طريق غنى سازى ناپلى آرتميا ارزيابى شد. ناپلى آرتميا ار ومياتا (Gúnther) (Qúnthe يك غذاى زنده است كه به عنوان حامل بر اى انتقال ياسيل هاى پروبيوتيكى به دستگاه گوار ش لارو ماهى كپور نقره اى اردومايس (Gúnthe يك فاى زنده است كه به عنوان حامل بر اى انتقال ياسيل هاى پروبيوتيكى به دستگاه گوار ش لارو ماهى كپور نقره اى او معزم دنور وماي بر پايه 10 درصد وزن بدن در 4 نوبت در روز به مدت 30 دروز تغذيه شدند. لارو هاى ماهى در تيمار هاى آزمايشى تو سط ناپلى آرتميا اروميانا كه بوسيله 50 درصد باسيلوس ها با غلظت <sup>1</sup> CFU ml<sup>5</sup> مندى با داري در نقره ماى در قرو هاى ماهى در تيمار هاى آزمايشى تو سط ناپلى آرتميا اروميانا كه بوسيله 50 درصد باسيلوس ها با غلظت <sup>1</sup> CFU ml<sup>5</sup> مندى بكه داد و در ماه كه و 50 در صد مخمر نقوايى با غلظت <sup>1</sup> آرمياش در ميا در ميانا در قارميش و <sup>5</sup>01×4 (بترتيب؛ 71، 27، 27) مالت مان در نيمانه مندن يشون شاده نير تعنيه شدند. بعد از 30 درور، آزمايش نشان داد كه پر امتر هاى رشد و تغذيه شدند، تيمار شاهد با آرتمياى عنى نشده در اين آزمايش تغذيه شدند. بعد از 30 درور، آزمايش نشان داد كه پر امتر هاى رشد و تغذيه شدند. تيمار شاهد با آرتمياى عنى نشده در اين آزمايش تغذيه شدند. بعد از 30 درور، آزمايش نشان داد كه پر امتر هاى رشد و تغذيه در تيمار هاى آزمايشى بطور معنى دارى نست به تيمار شاهد افزايش يافته است ا ما نرخ بقاء اين تيمار ها اختلاف معنى دار زيادى در مقايسه با تيمار شاهد نداشت. نتايچ در اين مطالعه شدل داد كه بيترين تيمار شاه افزايش يافته است ا ما نرخ بقاء اين تيمار ها اختلاف معنى دار نيادى در مقايسه با تيمار شاهد نداشت. نتايچ مار ماهما داد كه بيترين تيمار رياد مايم مو بو بالاى مخمر و پر وبيوتيك بود. كامات كليدى: يرما شاهد نداشت. نياين مطالعه شدل داد كه بيترين تيمار تغذيه مى مو به سطوح بالاى مخمر و پر وبيوتيك بود.

**Introduction**. Reduced mortality, improved growth and quality of fish larvae are among the beneficial effects that have been obtained by the use of probiotics. This likely occurs through enhanced immunological responses and reduced adherence of pathogenic strains or other modulation of the gut microbiota at specific locations, as has been previously reviewed (Wang et al 2008). Among probionts, *Bacillus* are gram positive, spore forming bacteria, used commercially as probiotics. *Bacillus* can act positively on cultured organisms by enhancing survival and growth (Gomez-Gil et al 2000). Investigations of the efficiency of *Artemia* nauplii in bioencapsulating bacteria indicate that benefits strongly depend on the type of bacteria used and time of exposure (Patra & Mohamed 2003).

AACL Bioflux, 2011, Volume 4, Issue 3. http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacl

Probiotics are usually defined as live microbial feed supplements, that are administered in such a way as to enter the gastro-intestinal tract which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance and in turn its health (Gatesoupe 1991; Ghosh et al 2003). Bakers' yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that is used for the bakers' industry, also can benefit fish growth. It contains various immunostimulating compounds, it has the capability to enhance growth (Oliva-Teles & Gonçalves 2001; Lara-Flores et al 2003; Li & Gatlin 2003, 2004, 2005) on a number of fish species.

*Artemia* bioencapsulation has emerged as a promising method for direct delivery of probiotics to the digestive tract of the target aquaculture species (Gatesoupe 2002; Suzer et al 2008). The investigations indicated that *Artemia urmiana* had a high potential for bioencapsulation with probiotic bacteria to carry their beneficial effects to the digestive tract of cultivable fish larvae (Jafaryan et al 2009a, 2009b).

Previous applications of probiotics have proved beneficial to the host by improving growth, survival and health (Moriarty 1998; Skjermo & Vadstein 1999).

Thus, this study was designed to evaluate the effects of blend of bacteria and yeast as probiotic on growth parameters and survival rate silver carp larvae via bioencapsulation *Artemia* nauplii.

### Materials and Methods

**Preparation of probiotic** *Bacillus* and bakers' yeast. The probiotic *Bacillus* was prepared from Protexin Co (Iran - Nikotak). The three species of probiotic *Bacillus* bacterial blend under the commercial title of Protexin aquatic were used for bioencapsulation within *Artemia urmiana*. The blends of probiotic bacilli (*B. licheniformis, B. circulans* and *B. polymixa*) from a suspension of spores with special media were provided. Four concentrations of bacterial suspension or bacterial broth,  $1 \times 10^5$ ,  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3 \times 10^5$  and  $4 \times 10^5$  bacteria per milliliter (CFU mL<sup>-1</sup>) were provided by Protexin Co and the colony forming units (CFU) were assess by growing in microbial culture in Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) (Rengpipat et al 1998).

The Baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) under the commercial title of Thepax (contain  $1 \times 10^{10}$  cells mg<sup>-1</sup>) was prepared from Doxal Co (Italy). The yeast suspensions or yeast broth were provided with dissolving values of 1, 2, 3 and 4 mg of Thepax powder in 100 mL of distilled water respectively.

**Artemia cyst hatching and bioencapsulation**. The cysts of *Artemia urmiana* from the center of Artemia & Aquatic Animals in Urmia (Iran) were used for this study. The corion of the cysts was chemically removed by employing the encapsulation methodology proposed by Sorgeloos et al (1977). Hatching of the encapsulated cysts was performed in glass container with 1 L of seawater (30 PPT salinity) at a density of 5.0 gram incubated at 30°C with constant illumination and oxygenated via an air pump (Gomez-Gil et al 1998). The bioencapsulation of *Artemia* nauplii was accomplished with density of 2 g live nauplii per litter (Makridis et al 2001). The blends of our bacterial and yeast suspension were with mixed combination of the two concentrations  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ of } Bacillus \text{ suspension} \text{ and } 1 \times 10^5 \text{ of } yeast suspension)$  in the treatment of T1; and for the treatments of T2, T3 and T4 with this procedure, the provided suspension of yeast and *Bacillus* were used with concentration of  $2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3 \times 10^5$  and  $4 \times 10^5$  cells mL<sup>-1</sup> in bioencapsulation broth of *Artemia urmiana* nauplii. Our experiment had four treatments and each treatment had three replications.

**Experimental design**. This experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with five treatments (four of blend bacillus and yeast levels and one control), and three replicates per treatment for a total of fifteen fiberglass tanks (each with capacity of 3 L). Larvae of silver carp were obtained from the Center Hatchery of Shahid Marjanii (Iran). Fish larvae were kept in an indoor fiberglass tank for one week for acclimation to the laboratory conditions. The density of fish larvae was 15 fish larvae per liter ( $10.1\pm1.50$  mg,  $3\pm0.43$ 

mm). Silver carp larvae in control and experimental treatments were fed based on the 10 percent of their body weight for 4 times a day (6.00, 12.00, 18.00 and 24.00 H).

The prepared mixture (yeast and *Bacillus*) suspension was added to the bioencapsulation broth of *Artemia nauplii* after 10 h. The bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* nauplii were collected on a 120  $\mu$ m-pore-size sieve, had used for feeding of fish larvae in treatments of T1, T2, T3 and T4 respectively. The control treatment was fed unbioencapsulated *Artemia* nauplii. This experiment was done in 30 days. After each feeding, extra food was collected and deducted from the feed offered. Water quality parameters of input water to rearing system were monitored each week throughout the experimental period. The water average temperature was 26±0.5, pH range was 7.5±0.3 and water dissolved oxygen concentrations ranged from 7.5±0.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. During the experiment, an electrical air pump (by a single filtration unit) was used. All the water quality parameters were within the acceptable ranges for fish growth.

**Sample collection**. The fish larvae were weighed individually at the start and at the end of the experiment. At the beginning and at the end of the experiment, 40 fish were seined from each tank and anesthetized with the extract of carnation flower. The fish larvae were weighed by a digital scale with precision of 0.1 mg and total length was measured with a caliper 0.1 mm.

Results were analyzed by a Duncan test from a one-way ANOVA. In All statistical tests,  $p\leq 0.05$  was taken as the level of significance performed using SPSS 15.0 for Windows.

**Calculation and statistical analysis**. Growth and feeding parameters of fish were calculated based on the data of biometry of silver carp larvae, and included:

Specific growth rate (SGR (%Body weight day<sup>-1</sup>)) = [(Ln BWt<sub>1</sub>-Ln BWt<sub>0</sub>) / t<sub>1</sub>- t<sub>0</sub>] × 100

Specific growth rate (SGR (%Body length day<sup>-1</sup>)) = [(Ln BLt<sub>1</sub>-Ln BLt<sub>0</sub>) / t<sub>1</sub>- t<sub>0</sub>] × 100

Where LnBWt<sub>0</sub> and LnBWt<sub>1</sub> are neperian logaritm of initial and final body weight, LnBLt<sub>0</sub> and LnBLt<sub>1</sub> are neperian logarithm of the initial and final body length of fish larvae and  $t_1 - t_0$  is the sum of experimental days (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Body weight increase was expressed as: BWI (mg) =  $BWt_1 - BWt_0$  (Tacon 1990); where,  $BWt_0$  and  $BWt_1$  are initial and final body weight of fish larvae, respectively.

Conversion efficiency ratio (CER %) =  $100 \times$  (specific growth rate / daily food intake rate) (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Food conversion ratio (FCR) =  $100 \times [food intake (g) / living weight gain (g)]$  (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Food conversion efficiency (FCE) = [living weight gain (g)/ food intake (g)]×100 (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Condition factor (CF) =  $100 \times [(g \text{ final weight of fish})/(\text{total length of fish} - \text{cm})^3]$  (Ai et al 2006).

Thermal growth coefficient (TGC) = [final body weight  $(g)^{0.333}$ - initial body weight  $(g)^{0.333}$ ]/ [Water temperature × days of experiment] (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Average daily growth (ADG) = $100 \times [(final weight of fish - initial weight of fish) / (initial weight of fish) × days of feeding] (De Silva & Anderson 1995).$ 

Relative food intake (RFI) =  $100 \times [(\text{feed intake})/0.5) \times (\text{final weight of fish} - \text{initial weight of fish}) \times \text{days of feeding}]$  (De Silva & Anderson 1995).

Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = [ living weight gain (g) / protein intake (g)] (Helland et al 1996).

Lipid efficiency ratio (LER) = [living weight gain (g) / lipid intake (g)] (Helland et al 1996). Total net gain (TNG) = [(final weight of fish(g) - initial weight of fish(g)) × number of fish]. Specific growth (SG) =  $[3 \times (final body weight (g)^{0.333} - initial body weight (g)^{0.333}) / days of feeding].$ 

Daily feed intake (DFI %) =  $100 \times [(\text{feed offered } (g) - \text{feed collected } (g))/ (body weight increase (g) × days of experiment)].$ 

**Results**. The results clearly showed that the mix of *Bacillus* and yeast bioencapsulation had beneficial effects on the growth performance in silver carp larvae. All the probiotic treatments resulted in growth performance better than control (p < 0.05) but survival of all groups were not significantly different (p < 0.05). However, among the four different concentrations of probiotic fed bioencapsulated in *A. urmiana* to fish larvae, the greatest effects were obtained in treatment 4. This is particularly true for Body weight increased, where the highest was obtained in the experimental treatment T4. Food conversion ratio (FCR) in the experimental treatments was significantly lower than control treatment (p<0.05). Blend of *Bacillus spp.* and yeast had significant positive effects on Specific growth rate (SGR) in experimental treatments in comparison with control. The feeding and growth parameters of silver carp larvae are presented in Table 1.

**Discussion**. The incorporation of probiotics via live food constitutes a very important potential tool for supplying probionts to the larvae. In the present study, *A. urmiana* was used as a vector to carry the yeast to the digestive system of silver carp larvae. Bacterial colonization of the nauplii could occur externally, via attachment to the body surfaces or internally by ingestion (Gomez-Gil et al 1998). The results of this study clearly demonstrate that the silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) larvae had different growth and feeding performance in effecting of various concentrations of probiotic bacillus and yeast via bioencapsulation of *Artemia urmiana* nauplii. However, it was seen that the effects of bioencapsulation was dependent on the concentration of the probiotics in the bacterial broth suspension. This was proven by the better fish performance which was observed in the treatment with higher bacterial concentration.

We found that the effects of bioencapsulation depended on the concentration of the probiotics in the broth suspension. Similar results were obtained by Jafaryan et al (2009a) when the *B. polymixa*, *B. circulans* and the backer's yeast were used for sazan (*Cyprinus carpio carpio*) larvae via feeding with bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* nauplii. They observed the weight gain and specific growth were significantly increased in probiotic treatments. The maximum of weight gain (242.58 mg) and specific growth (0.346 mg/day) in sazan larvae were obtained when they are feeding by bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* in suspension of  $3 \times 10^8$  probiotic bacillus per liter while these parameters in control were 171.91 mg and 0.293 g/day respectively. In our study the best results of weight gain (212.77 mg) and specific growth (0.151mg/day) were obtained in T4, where the the silver carp larvae were fed on bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* by  $4 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL.

The similar results in promotion of some growth parameters of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) larvae were observed by Gatesoupe (1991) in using *B. toyoi*.

The survival rate also showed no significant difference between the experimental treatments and control (p<0.05). Final body weight (FBW) in experimental treatments T1, T2 and T4 was significantly higher than control treatment and T3 (p<0.05). These findings demonstrated the ability of probiotics in promotion of growth and feeding performance in fish larvae. The similar effects of *S. cerevisiae* were reported by Jafaryan et al (2009c) in feeding of raibow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) larvae by supplemented diet of 4.3 log CFU/g. They indicated that the FCR was decreased from 1.03 in control to 0.92 in probiotic treatment, while the SGR was promoted from 4.24 to 4.61 respectively. A similar result was obtained by Jafaryan et al (2007) when the Persian sturgeon larvae fed on bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* nauplii with  $2 \times 10^5$  CFU/ mL of suspension broth. They showed that the best SGR (11.64%), FCR (6.09) and LER (13.72) were obtained in Persian sturgeon larvae fed on bioencapsulated *Daphnia magna* with  $2 \times 10^5$  CFU/ mL of suspension broth while in control these parameters were 10.04, 7.79 and 10.82 respectively.

Similar findings have been reported in other fish larvae including with the dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus spp.* (Bagheri et al 2008) in rainbow trout.

Control	T1	T2	Т3	T4
15.51 <sup>c</sup> ±155.88	26.83ª±200.23	2.61 <sup>ab</sup> ±194.13	21.25 <sup>bc</sup> ±160.92	11.5ª±212.77
1.45±0.51°	1.90±0.63ª	1.84±0.61 <sup>ab</sup>	1.50±0.47°	2.02±0.51°
1.6 <sup>b</sup> ±26.70	3.6ª±35.8	$3.9^{ab} \pm 30.10$	0.5 <sup>ab</sup> ±28.7	$0.6^{ab} \pm 31.7$
0.29 <sup>b</sup> ±7.84	0.42ª±8.54	0.03ª±8.47	0.38 <sup>b</sup> ±7.90	0.15ª±8.73
2.18±0.20 <sup>b</sup>	2.46±0.23ª	2.30±0.25 <sup>ab</sup>	2.25±0.18 <sup>ab</sup>	2.35±0.20 <sup>ab</sup>
0.31 <sup>c</sup> ±2.91	0.57ª±3.8	0.06 <sup>b</sup> ±3.68	0.43 <sup>bc</sup> ±3.01	0.23ª±4.06
0.44 <sup>c</sup> ±4.17	0.82ª±5.43	$0.07^{ab}\!\pm\!5.26$	0.63 <sup>bc</sup> ±4.31	0.33ª±5.79
408.69±289.23ª	591.05±197.01°	645.08±166.6ª	561.94±178.02ª	671.63±185.91ª
25.50±3.21 <sup>b</sup>	36.52±2.09ª	33.47±2.28°	27.15±2.12 <sup>b</sup>	37.50±2.35ª
$0.128 \pm 0.007^{b}$	0.146±0.011ª	0.144±0.001°	$0.130 \pm 0.009^{b}$	0.151±0.004ª
0.165±0.009 <sup>b</sup>	0.188±0.014ª	0.186±0.001°	0.167±0.012 <sup>b</sup>	0.195±0.005ª
0.35ª±3.23	$0.40^{b}\pm 2.50$	0.04 <sup>b</sup> ±2.54	0.43ª±3.14	$0.13^{b}\pm2.31$
8.63±0.89ª	6.77±1.04 <sup>b</sup>	6.88±0.93 <sup>b</sup>	8.40±1.10ª	6.29±0.33 <sup>b</sup>
5.94±0.59 <sup>b</sup>	7.63±1.09ª	7.40±0.99 <sup>ab</sup>	6.14±0.83 <sup>ab</sup>	8.11±0.43ª
15.86±1.57 <sup>b</sup>	20.37±2.91°	19.75±1.26 <sup>ab</sup>	16.37±2.23 <sup>ab</sup>	21.65±1.17ª
3.31 <sup>b</sup> ±33.30	6.11 <sup>c</sup> ±42.78	0.55 <sup>bc</sup> ±41.48	4.69 <sup>ab</sup> ±34.39	2.46 <sup>c</sup> ±45.46
$10.28^{a} \pm 98.45$	6.13ª±99.36	14.78 <sup>a</sup> ±98.64	10.12ª±97.12	17.24ª±99.48
	$15.51^{\circ}\pm155.88$ $1.45\pm0.51^{\circ}$ $1.6^{b}\pm26.70$ $0.29^{b}\pm7.84$ $2.18\pm0.20^{b}$ $0.31^{\circ}\pm2.91$ $0.44^{\circ}\pm4.17$ $408.69\pm289.23^{a}$ $25.50\pm3.21^{b}$ $0.128\pm0.007^{b}$ $0.165\pm0.009^{b}$ $0.35^{a}\pm3.23$ $8.63\pm0.89^{a}$ $5.94\pm0.59^{b}$ $15.86\pm1.57^{b}$ $3.31^{b}\pm33.30$	Control $15.51^{c}\pm 155.88$ $26.83^{a}\pm 200.23$ $1.45\pm 0.51^{c}$ $1.90\pm 0.63^{a}$ $1.6^{b}\pm 26.70$ $3.6^{a}\pm 35.8$ $0.29^{b}\pm 7.84$ $0.42^{a}\pm 8.54$ $2.18\pm 0.20^{b}$ $2.46\pm 0.23^{a}$ $0.31^{c}\pm 2.91$ $0.57^{a}\pm 3.8$ $0.44^{c}\pm 4.17$ $0.82^{a}\pm 5.43$ $408.69\pm 289.23^{a}$ $591.05\pm 197.01^{a}$ $25.50\pm 3.21^{b}$ $36.52\pm 2.09^{a}$ $0.128\pm 0.007^{b}$ $0.146\pm 0.011^{a}$ $0.165\pm 0.009^{b}$ $0.188\pm 0.014^{a}$ $0.35^{a}\pm 3.23$ $0.40^{b}\pm 2.50$ $8.63\pm 0.89^{a}$ $6.77\pm 1.04^{b}$ $5.94\pm 0.59^{b}$ $7.63\pm 1.09^{a}$ $15.86\pm 1.57^{b}$ $20.37\pm 2.91^{a}$ $3.31^{b}\pm 33.30$ $6.11^{c}\pm 42.78$	ControlControlControlControl $15.51^{c}\pm 155.88$ $26.83^{a}\pm 200.23$ $2.61^{ab}\pm 194.13$ $1.45\pm 0.51^{c}$ $1.90\pm 0.63^{a}$ $1.84\pm 0.61^{ab}$ $1.6^{b}\pm 26.70$ $3.6^{a}\pm 35.8$ $3.9^{ab}\pm 30.10$ $0.29^{b}\pm 7.84$ $0.42^{a}\pm 8.54$ $0.03^{a}\pm 8.47$ $2.18\pm 0.20^{b}$ $2.46\pm 0.23^{a}$ $2.30\pm 0.25^{ab}$ $0.31^{c}\pm 2.91$ $0.57^{a}\pm 3.8$ $0.06^{b}\pm 3.68$ $0.44^{c}\pm 4.17$ $0.82^{a}\pm 5.43$ $0.07^{ab}\pm 5.26$ $408.69\pm 289.23^{a}$ $591.05\pm 197.01^{a}$ $645.08\pm 166.6^{a}$ $25.50\pm 3.21^{b}$ $36.52\pm 2.09^{a}$ $33.47\pm 2.28^{a}$ $0.128\pm 0.007^{b}$ $0.146\pm 0.011^{a}$ $0.144\pm 0.001^{a}$ $0.128\pm 0.007^{b}$ $0.146\pm 0.014^{a}$ $0.186\pm 0.001^{a}$ $0.35^{a}\pm 3.23$ $0.40^{b}\pm 2.50$ $0.04^{b}\pm 2.54$ $8.63\pm 0.89^{a}$ $6.77\pm 1.04^{b}$ $6.88\pm 0.93^{b}$ $5.94\pm 0.59^{b}$ $7.63\pm 1.09^{a}$ $7.40\pm 0.99^{ab}$ $15.86\pm 1.57^{b}$ $20.37\pm 2.91^{a}$ $19.75\pm 1.26^{ab}$ $3.31^{b}\pm 3.30$ $6.11^{c}\pm 2.78$ $0.55^{b}\pm 41.48$	ControlCallCallCallCallCallCall $15.51^{c}\pm 155.88$ $26.83^{a}\pm 200.23$ $2.61^{a}\pm 194.13$ $21.25^{b}\pm 160.92$ $1.45\pm 0.51^{c}$ $1.90\pm 0.63^{a}$ $1.84\pm 0.61^{ab}$ $1.50\pm 0.47^{c}$ $1.6^{b}\pm 26.70$ $3.6^{a}\pm 35.8$ $3.9^{a}b\pm 30.10$ $0.5^{ab}\pm 28.7$ $0.29^{b}\pm 7.84$ $0.42^{a}\pm 8.54$ $0.03^{a}\pm 8.47$ $0.38^{b}\pm 7.90$ $2.18\pm 0.20^{b}$ $2.46\pm 0.23^{a}$ $2.30\pm 0.25^{ab}$ $2.25\pm 0.18^{ab}$ $0.31^{c}\pm 2.91$ $0.57^{a}\pm 3.8$ $0.06^{b}\pm 3.68$ $0.43^{bc}\pm 3.01$ $0.44^{c}\pm 4.17$ $0.82^{a}\pm 5.43$ $0.07^{ab}\pm 5.26$ $0.63^{bc}\pm 4.31$ $408.69\pm 289.23^{a}$ $591.05\pm 197.01^{a}$ $645.08\pm 166.6^{a}$ $561.94\pm 178.02^{a}$ $25.50\pm 3.21^{b}$ $36.52\pm 2.09^{a}$ $33.47\pm 2.28^{a}$ $27.15\pm 2.12^{b}$ $0.128\pm 0.007^{b}$ $0.146\pm 0.011^{a}$ $0.144\pm 0.001^{a}$ $0.130\pm 0.009^{b}$ $0.155\pm 0.009^{b}$ $0.188\pm 0.014^{a}$ $0.186\pm 0.001^{a}$ $0.167\pm 0.012^{b}$ $0.35^{a}\pm 3.23$ $0.40^{b}\pm 2.50$ $0.04^{b}\pm 2.54$ $0.43^{a}\pm 3.14$ $8.63\pm 0.89^{a}$ $6.77\pm 1.04^{b}$ $6.88\pm 0.93^{b}$ $8.40\pm 1.10^{a}$ $5.94\pm 0.59^{b}$ $7.63\pm 1.09^{a}$ $7.40\pm 0.99^{ab}$ $6.14\pm 0.83^{ab}$ $15.86\pm 1.57^{b}$ $20.37\pm 2.91^{a}$ $19.75\pm 1.26^{ab}$ $16.37\pm 2.23^{ab}$ $3.31^{b}\pm 3.3.30$ $6.11^{c}\pm 2.78$ $0.55^{b}\pm 41.48$ $4.69^{ab}\pm 34.39$

The values (mean $\pm$ SD) of growth parameters of silver carp
( <i>Hypophthalmichthys molitrix</i> ) larvae in experimental treatments (trial 1-4) and control

Table 1

Groups with different alphabetic superscripts differ significantly at p<0.05 (ANOVA)

Similar results had been reported by Lara-Flores et al (2003); they showed that *S. cerevisiae* improved feeding efficiency of Nile tilapia juveniles, while Noh et al (1994) and Bogut et al (1998) also studied the effect of supplementing carp feeds with different additives. Including antibiotics, yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) and bacteria, they obtained the best growth with a bacterium, not yeast, but their conclusion in carp was in contrast to our results.

The experiment indicated that the blend of *Bacillus* and yeast have the highest ability to increase the growth and feeding parameters in *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* larvae. However, in trial T4, the silver carp larvae were fed by bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* in suspension of  $4 \times 105$  CFU/mL, and obtained the best body weight, SGR, PER, LER and TGC, which showed all of them could promote each other. Results of this study also showed that different concentration of yeast could cause different effects on growth parameters.

**Conclusion**. This experiment indicated that using the mixture of probiotic bacillus and yeast could have a great effect on the growth performance of silver carp, and that different levels of the probiotics could have different effects on the growth parameters of the fish larvae.

#### References

- Ai Q., Mai K., Tan B., et al, 2006 Replacement of fish meal by meat and bone meal in diets for large Yellow croaker (*Pseudosciaena crocea*). Aquaculture **260**:255-263.
- Bagheri T., Hedayati A., Yavari V., et al, 2008 Growth, survival and gut microbial load of rainbow trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*) fry given diet supplemented with probiotic during the two months of first feeding. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences **8**:43-48.
- Bogut I., Milakovic Z., Bukvic Z., et al, 1998 Influence of probiotic *Streptococcus faecium* M74 on growth and content of intestinal microfolora in carp *cyprinus carpio*. Czech J Anim Sci **43**:231-235.
- De Silva S. S., Anderson T. A., 1995 The effect of ration on growth ratio. In: Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture. Chapman and Hall, London. 319 pp.
- Gatesoupe F. J., 1991 *Bacillus sp.* Spores: A new tool against early bacterial infection in turbot larvae, *Scophthalmus maximus.* In: larvens, P., Jaspers, E., Roelands, I. (Eds.), Larvi–fish and crustacean larviculture symposium. European Aquaculture Society, Gent, pp: 409-411.
- Gatesoupe F. J., 2002 Probiotic and formaldehyde treatments of *Artemia nauplii* as food for larval pollack, *Pollachius pollachius*. Aquaculture **212**:347–360.
- Ghosh K., Sen S. K., Ray A. K., 2003 Supplementation of an isolated fish gut bacterium *Bacillus circulans*, in formulated diets for Rohu, *Labeo rohita*, fingerlings. Aquaculture Bamidgeh **55**(1):13-21.
- Gomez-Gil B., Herrera-Vega M. A., Aberu-Grobis F. A., Roque A., 1998 Bioencapsulation of two different *Vibrio* species in nauplii of the Brine shrimp (*Artemia fransiscana*). Applied Environmental Microbiology **64**:2318-2322.
- Gomez-Gil B., Roque A., Turnbull J. F., 2000 The use and selection of probiotic bacteria for use in the culture of larval aquatic organisms. Aquaculture **191**:259–270.
- Helland S. J., Grisdale Helland B., Nerland S., 1996 A simple method for the measurement of daily feed intake of groups of fish in tanks. Aquaculture **139**:157-163.
- Jafaryan H., Azari Takami G., Kamali A., et al, 2007 The use of probiotic bacillus bioencapsulated with *Artemia urmiana* nauplii for the growth and survival in *Acipenser persicus* larvae. Agriculture Science and Natural Resources **14**:77-87.
- Jafaryan H., Golpor A., Adibi M., 2009a The promotion of growth parameters in sazan (*Cyprinus carpio carpio* L.) larvae by bioencapsulation of *Artemia urmiana* with probiotics. Aquaculture Europe 09. August 14-17, 2009. Trondheim, Norway. P.284-285.
- Jafaryan H., Mirbagheryi V., Esmaeili M., 2009b The use of probiotic bacillus spores for enhancement of growth parameters in silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) larvae via bioencapsulation of *Artemia urmiana*. Larvi 2009. 7-10 September, 2009. European Aquaculture Society, special publication. Ghent University, Belgium. p.178-180.
- Jafaryan H., Soltani M., Mazanderani R., 2009c Supplementation of two isolated sturgeon gut bacteria in diet of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) larvae for promoting resistance in challenge with stress. 1<sup>st</sup> International congress on Aquatic Animal Health Management and Diseases. January 27-29, 2009. Tehran - Iran. P.95.
- Lara-Flores M., Olvera-Novoa M. A., Guzman-Méndez B. E., López-Madrid W., 2003 Use of the bacteria *Streptococcus faecium* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, and the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as growth promoters in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture **216**:193–201.

- Li P., Gatlin III D. M., 2003 Evaluation of brewers yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) as a feed supplement for hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* × *M. saxatilis*). Aquaculture **219**:681–692.
- Li P., Gatlin III D. M., 2004 Dietary brewers yeast and the prebiotic GroBiotick<sup>™</sup> AE influence growth performance, immune responses and resistance of hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* × *M. saxatilis*) to *Streptococcus iniae* infection. Aquaculture **231**:445–456.
- Li P., Gatlin III D. M., 2005 Evaluation of the prebiotic GroBiotic<sup>®</sup> A and brewers yeast as dietary supplements for subadult hybrid striped bass (*Morone chrysops* × *M. saxatilis*) challenged in situ with *Mycobacterium marinum*. Aquaculture **248**:197–205.
- Makridis P., Bergh Q., Skjermoj J., Vadstein O., 2001 Addition of bacteria bioencapsulated in *Artemia* metanauplii to a rearing system for halibut larvae. Aquaculture International **9**:225-235.
- Moriarty D. J. W., 1998 Control of luminous *Vibrio* species in penaeid aquaculture ponds. Aquaculture **164**:351–358.
- Noh S. H., Han K., Won T. H., Choi Y. J., 1994 Effect of antibiotics, enzyme, yeast culture and probiotics on the growth performance of Israeli carp. Korean J Anim Sci **36**:480-486.
- Oliva-Teles A., Gonçalves P., 2001 Partial replacement of fishmeal by brewers yeast *Saccaromyces cerevisae* in diets for sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* juveniles. Aquaculture **202**:269–278.
- Patra S. K., Mohamed K. S., 2003 Enrichment of *Artemia* nauplii with the probiotic yeast *Sacharomyces boulardii* and its resistance against a pathogenic *Vibrio*. Aquaculture International **11**:505-514.

Rengpipat S., Phianphak W., Piyatiratitivorakul S., Menasveta P., 1998 Effect of a probiotic bacterium on black tiger shrimp *Penaeus monodon* survival and growth. Aquaculture **167**:301–313.

- Skjermo J., Vadstein O., 1999 Techniques for microbial control in the intensive rearing of marine larvae. Aquaculture **177**:333–343.
- Sorgeloos P., Bossuyt E., Lavina E., et al, 1977 Decapsulation of *Artemia* cysts: a simple technique for the improvement of the use of brine shrimp in aquaculture. Aquaculture **12**(4):311-315.
- Suzer C., Çoba D., Kamaci H. O., et al, 2008 *Lactobacillus spp.* bacteria as probiotics in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata,* L.) larvae: effects on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. Aquaculture **280**:140–145.
- Tacon A. G. J., 1990 Standard Methods for the Nutrition and Feeding of Farmed Fish and Shrimp. Argent Laboratories Press, Redmond, Washington, USA, 454p.
- Wang Y.-B., Li J.-R., Lin J., 2008 Probiotics in aquaculture: challenges and outlook. Aquaculture **281**:1–4.

Received: 21 January 2011. Accepted: 15 March 2011. Published online: 23 May 2011. Authors:

Hossein Adineh, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail: H. Adineh, Adineh.h@gmail.com

Hojatolah Jafaryan, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail:Hojat.Jafaryan@gmail.com

Moein Faramarzi, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail: faramarzimoein@gmail.com

Mohammad Lashkar boloki, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail:3772214@gmail.com

Hadi Jamali, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail:Saeed.jamali11@gmaill.com

Maryam Alizadeh, Gonbad Higher Education Center, Department of Natural Resources, Iran, Gonbad; Postal code: 4971857765, e-mail:Alizadeh.shill89@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Adineh H., Jafaryan H., Faramarzi M., Lashkar boloki M., Jamali H., Alizadeh M., 2011 The effects of mixture commercial live bakers' yeast and probiotic bacillus on growth and feeding performance and survival rate of silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) larvae via bioencapsulated *Artemia urmiana* nauplii. AACL Bioflux **4**(3):430-436.