Fish abundance differences and relations to plankton primary production in two variants of pond stocking with common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.), grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella Val.) and bighead carp (Aristichthys nobilis Rich.) larvae
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Abstract. A fish pond experiment was conducted in two ponds which were stocked with 0 aged common carp and bighead carp larvae in numeric abundance ratio 3:1 (variant 1) while in other two ponds the ratio was 1:3 in favor of bighead carp (variant 2). To each of the two stocking variants we added one and the same number of 0 aged grass carps and 1+ or 2+ common carps. The experiment lasted 4 months (May to September) and was repeated in two consecutive years (2007, 2008). The second year variant 2 was conducted in three ponds. The fish ponds belonged to the experimental facilities of the Institute for Fishery and Aquaculture, Bulgaria. The plankton primary production and other related variables were measured approximately fortnightly and continuously throughout the experiment duration. At the end of experiment number and yield of fishes were measured and survival rates were calculated. Survival rate of bighead carp and yield of common carp and bighead carp of variant 1 were significantly higher than those of variant 2. The yield of bighead carp larvae did not, while that of common carp, grass carp and total fish yield correlated significantly and positively with plankton primary production. The yield of accidentally fallen into ponds of crucian carps (Carassius carassius Lin.) correlated strongly negatively with 1+/2+ common carps, which was indication for the strong food competition between them.
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Introduction. The polyculture is a very widely spread form for fish rearing. By composing stocks of fishes with different feeding niches and habitats one tries to utilize the pond natural and artificial food resources in the most effective way. By manipulating fish densities in wide ranges a more or less strong completion or top-down effect on lower elements of the foodchain is achieved, directed to better efficiency of fish rearing (Mattson 1999; Lu et al 2002; Rahman et al 2006; Yong-Sulem et al 2006; Kadir et al 2007). Moreover, effects like bottom stirring by carp, that do not act by means of the foodchain seem to improve the feeding conditions for obligate plankton consuming fishes (Milstein et al 2006; Kadir et al 2007). The optimization of different fish interactions was attempted by variations in densities of different fish species and age groups (Mattson 1999; Lu et al 2002) by showing that definite ratios between species densities are favorable for fish growth. This might influence decisively the utilization of primary production, however, fish growth also depends to different extend on availability of additional food (Yi 1999).

Therefore we tried to test the ability of two density ratios of the most often reared fish species (common carp and bighead carp) in order to achieve better growth by utilizing plankton primary production and artificial food in an effective way.

Material and Method. Breeding variants of common carp (CC) and bighead carp (BC) 0 larvae in two different proportions and their relations to plankton primary productivity were tested. The experiment includes two fish ponds stocked with CC and BC larvae by numeric ratio 3:1 and further two fish ponds whose ratio amounts to 1:3 in favor of BC. To each of the two stocking variants we added one and the same number of 0 aged grass carps and 1+/2+ common carps. The Table 1 summarizes the number of individuals from each fish species group, ponds and sites applied in the experiment.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish species groups</th>
<th>Variants of fish stocking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC0</td>
<td>BC0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant No1, Ind ha⁻¹</td>
<td>60000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant No2, Ind ha⁻¹</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years, Sites, Pond numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variant No1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond No and area in brackets, ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pond No and area in brackets, ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown on the table in the first year (2007) the experiment took place at two different sites (Plovdiv town and Trivoditsi village) whose fish ponds belonged to the experimental facilities of the Institute for Fishery and Aquaculture. In the second year (2008) all experimental ponds were localized in Trivoditsi village. For technical reasons in the year 2008 we carried out variant 2 with three ponds (beside pond No 10 already applied in the previous year exceptionally we used pond No 3 and later included the pond No 9 also). Another difference from the first year was the application of CC$_{2+}$ instead of CC$_{1+}$ applied previously, which was not more available in the second year.

The applied earthen fish ponds were drained and dried in autumn. Then in the spring of the next year they were limed with 2000 kg.ha$^{-1}$ of alkaline lime and manured with decomposed organic dung of cattle origin (3000 kg.ha$^{-1}$) before the filling with water. The manure is introduced in proximity of the pond banks with depth varying between 0.30-0.50 m.

The experiments lasted about 4 months (from the beginning of June till the end of September). The fishes were introduced in the intervals 7-22 June and 5 June - 18 July during the years 2007 and 2008 corresponding.

The larvae and older carps were fed with grounded sunflower groats during the whole experiment.

The plankton primary production was measured at three depth levels (0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 m) by means of light and dark bottle technique. Laboratory glass bottles of about 250 ml volume with wide neck were used in order to ensure the oxygen measurement with an oxy-meter electrode (WTW Oxi 315i/SET). Sometimes oxygen bubbles appeared in the light bottles and escaped the measurement. However we introduced an approximate correction by estimating the bubble dimensions, calculation and transformation of its volume to normal conditions and obtaining the quantity escaping the oxygen measurements. The exposure took between 1 and 2 hours time during the first half of the day. The 24 hours rates of gross primary production were calculated after the method of Moutin et al (1999). The respiration was obtained by multiplying the measured hourly rate by 24 hours and the difference between gross primary production and respiration delivered the net primary production. Finally the 24 hour rates were integrated for the experiment duration and the total production for the period of experiment (about four months) obtained.

The chlorophyll-a was measured by filtration of an appropriate volume through GFA Millipore glass fibre filters of 0.7 µm pore size, ethanol extraction, spectrophotometer readings and calculation, all operations carried out after the ISO-1/1980 and ISO 5667-2/1991.

The total sun radiation was measured by a pyranometer M 80 M type with black and white squares, fixed about 2 m above the earth surface. The pyranometer readings were recorded every hour during the interval between 9:00 am and 16:00 pm local time.

At the end of the experiment the ponds were fished completely and fish yield was measured, then the number and the survival rate of different fish species and age groups were calculated.

Unfortunately during the experiments in both years crucian carp was brought into the fish ponds with water supply and its abundance also recorded at the experiment end. Thus we were able to account for possible influences by crucian carp on the final results.

Results and Discussion. The only statistically significant difference concerning percentage of fish survival between the two variants of fish stocking is observed for bighead carp in favor of the variant No 1 (Figure 1). Taking into consideration the statements of Milstein et al (2006), Rahman et al (2006), and Kadir et al (2007) we have to assume that the variant 1 offers better survival conditions for bighead carp larvae than variant 2. There are two reasons for this. First, the 3 times larger number of 0 aged common carps than in variant 2 caused more intensive nutrient mobilization from sediment by stirring it (Milstein et al 2006; Kadir et al 2007) and second, the initial stocking density of bighead carp in variant 1 is closer to the optimum (0.5 Ind. m$^{-2}$) reported by Rahman et al (2006). The survival rate of the other three fish groups did not show significant differences between the two variants. Logically, the lowest differences of
survival rates between two stocking variants and the highest survival percentage is reported for oldest fish group that of 1+/2+ old carp because as default natural fish mortality of older age classes is supposed to be lower than of the younger.

Figure 1. Percentage of survived fishes from two variants stocked with of 0 old common carp (CC\textsubscript{0}), grass carp (GC\textsubscript{0}), bighead carp (BC\textsubscript{0}) and 1+/2+ old carp (CC\textsubscript{1+/2+}).

The fish number means of the two variants showed no statistically significant differences at the end of experiment, despite the starting ratios between CC\textsubscript{0}:BC\textsubscript{0} for variant 1 of 3:1 and 1:3 for variant 2.

The fish yield of two stocking variants showed statistically significant differences in favor of the mean of variant 1 for CC\textsubscript{0} only (P=0.00164, Figure 2). As previously indicated in the text above the final number of CC\textsubscript{0} group does not differ for the two stocking variants. Consequently the obtained significant differences in fish yield could result from better feeding conditions for carp larvae under conditions of variant 1.
The feed for bighead carp larvae under variants 1 seem also to be better than in variant 2 because the yields of two variants at the end of the experiment did not differ despite the starting ratio of 1:3 in favor of variant 2. The other two fish components of experiment set in equal numbers at the beginning as expected did not show significant differences at the experiment finish. The same is valid for final total fish biomass, which included also the crucian carp which accidentally got into the experiment ponds with water supply.

The effect of the two fish stocking variants was close to the significance boarder only for the differences of plankton respiration and percentage of solar energy utilization (PEU) with $P$ equal to 0.059 and 0.062 correspondingly (Figure 3). The variant 2 is tending to be higher than variant 1 for both variables and in both years (in 2008 level of significance ($P$) was 0.071 and 0.062 respectively). The other presented characteristics did not deliver significant differences. Thus the variant 1 ponds delivering higher fish yield for $CC_0$ and $BC_0$ showed lower plankton productivity and vice versa for variant 2.
Figure 3. Plankton primary production and derivative variables of fish stocking experiment, measured during the year 2007: gross primary production (PP\textsubscript{gross}), respiration (R), net primary production (PP\textsubscript{net}), concentration of chlorophyll-a (Chl), percentage of solar energy utilization by PP\textsubscript{gross} (PEU), ratio between PP\textsubscript{gross} and Chl.

Besides the regularly provided artificial feed and preference to benthic or pelagic food resources the yield and number of most reared fish groups showed significant correlations with net plankton primary production.

As shown on Figure 4 and 5 both yields of CC\textsubscript{0} and GC\textsubscript{0} correlated significantly with plankton PP\textsubscript{net} despite the CC\textsubscript{0} being omnivorous in presence of plankton feeder might prefer benthic organisms and GC\textsubscript{0} – high plant vegetation. Probably the GC\textsubscript{0} has taken more plankton algae in its diet due to its low age and because the high plants were rare in the ponds. As obligatory plant feeder GC\textsubscript{0} correlates stronger with PP\textsubscript{net} than the CC\textsubscript{0} whose feeding spectrum includes both benthic and plankton animals and has indirect coupling to the PP\textsubscript{net}. 
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Figure 4. Relationship between net plankton primary production (PPnet) integrated for the whole experiment duration and the obtained fish yield share of common carp larvae in the age of 0 (C\textsubscript{C0}) at the experiment end.

Figure 5. Relationship between net plankton primary production (PPnet) integrated for the whole experiment duration and the obtained fish yield share of grass carp larvae in age of 0 (G\textsubscript{C0}) at the experiment end.

On contrary, the BC\textsubscript{0} as a typical plankton feeder did not show significant correlation with P\textsubscript{Pnet} (Figure 6). This was in accordance with our preliminary microscopic investigations of gut content. They showed that beside phyto and zooplankton, detritus formed a great share of bighead carp gut content.

As a result of overall tendency towards a more or less pronounced positive relation between PPnet and abundance of different fish groups the obtained total yield (crucian
carp inclusive) also showed a significant correlation with plankton net productivity (R=0.700, P=0.0356).

![Figure 6](image)

Figure 6. Relationship between net plankton primary production (PPnet) integrated for the whole experiment duration and the obtained fish yield share of bighead carp larvae in age of 0 (BCO) at the experiment end.

![Figure 7](image)

Figure 7. Relationship between measured yield of crucian carp contaminating the experiment and the obtained fish yield share of common carp in age of 1+/2+ (CC1+/2+) at the experiment end.

Finally the yield of crucian carp correlated strongly negatively with common carp yield in age of 1+/2+ which indicated the strong completion for food between them (Figure 7).
Conclusions. The variant 1 with stocking density ratio 3:1 of common carp to bighead carp in age 0 showed better survival rate of BC₀ and better yield of CC₀ and BC₀ than of variant 2 with stocking ratio CC₀ : BC₀ = 1:3. Obviously this density ratio of CC₀ and BC₀ was more favorable for the realization of mutual benefits for both fish species.

Despite the regular feeding with artificial food the yield of larvae of CC₀ and grass carps (GC₀) correlated significantly with net plankton primary production, while yield of BC₀ did not due to its feeding on detritus particles. Most probably either the added food was not directly utilized by the larvae (except BC₀), or big deal of it was eaten by older CC₁+/₂+ and crucian carps.

The common carp 1+/2+ competed strongly with experiment invader crucian carp for the same food resources, while common carp 0 aged did not.
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